<b>OCDD Quality Review Framework</b>	k				1
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	I. Gen	eral An	ency Functions		
A. Supports and Services Center	Overall Compliance Level for Section 1.			ompliance Not in Complian	l nce
provides ongoing competency	Process Indicator 1:		compliance i artiar co	Tiot in Compiler	
based training to all appropriate	100% of staff members hired				
staff.	in the past 12 months in 10%				
	random sample of staff				
	training records have				
	completed or are on schedule				
	to complete all mandatory				
	training.				
	Process Indicator2:				
	100% of staff members				
	employed for more than 12				
	months in 10% random				
	sample of staff training				
	records have completed all				
	mandatory training.				
	Process Indicator 3:				
	95% of staff members hired				
	in the past 12 months in 10%				
	random sample of staff training records have				
	completed or are on schedule				
	to complete all agency-				
	initiated training.				
	Process Indicator 4:				
	110ccss marcatul 7.	1			

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framewor</b>	k					2
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	95% of staff members employed for more than 12 months in 10% random sample of staff training records have completed all agency-initiated training.  Process Indicator 5: 100% of staff members employed for more than 12					
	months in random sample of staff training records have completed Attorney General's Office training on Abuse/Neglect.  Process Indicator 6: Facility has process(es) for ensuring that 100% of new					
	staff members are provided with Attorney General's Office training on Abuse/Neglect within 6 months of hire.  Process Indicator 7:					
	All mandatory and agency-initiated training is competency based.  Process Indicator 8:					

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framework</b>	k					3
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Facility has process(es) for assessing the effectiveness of direct support staff New Employee Training/ Orientation (i.e. actual implementation in real life work contexts) at regular intervals in the first year after orientation.  Outcome Indicator 1: Score of 90% or greater on skills implementation observations/reliability checks conducted three months after completion of orientation training.					
	Outcome Indicator 2: Score of 90% or greater on skills implementation observations/reliability checks conducted six months after completion of orientation training.  Outcome Indicator 3: Score of 90% or greater on skills implementation observations/reliability					

OCDD Quality Review Framework	K				4
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
B. Unified record is maintained	checks conducted nine months after completion of orientation training.  Overall Compliance Level for Sect	ion I R	: Compliance Partial Co.	mpliance Not in Complian	ce
which contains all needed	Process Indicator 1:	1011 1.D.	· Compilative Tartial Co.	Tvot in Compilar	
information to provide supports to the individual.	Facility has a standard table of contents or index for the unified record which includes all needed information to provide supports to the individual.				
	Process Indicator 2: 95% of unified records reviewed comply with the standard table of contents or index.				
	Outcome Indicator 1: Score of 95% or higher on Record Review section of Individualized Supports Review 1 per quarter for the past four quarters.				
	Outcome Indicator 2: Score of 95% or higher on Record Review section of				

**OCDD Quality Review Framework** Not **Performance Indicator** Met If Not Met, Why Not? **Supporting Documentation** Recommendations Area Met **Individualized Supports** Review completed during on-site review. C. Supports and Services Center **Overall Compliance Level for Section I.C.:** Compliance Partial Compliance Not in Compliance maintains following staffing ratios **Process Indicator 1:** with qualified staff: Facility has an adequate staff 1. Physicians 1:100 recruitment and retention 2. Nursing 1:25 (day shifts); plan. 1:50 (night shift) 3. Licensed Ph.D.s 1:100 **Process Indicator 2:** 4. Associate to a Psychologists 90% of direct support 1:25 vacancies for the past 12 5. Neurology hrs (average of 1 months were filled within 30 visit per year per person) days. 6. Psychiatry hrs (average of 1 visit per quarter per person) **Process Indicator 3:** 7. Adequate #s for other 90% of nursing vacancies for professional staff the past 12 months were 8. Title XIX Direct Support filled within 60 days. Staff ratios **Process Indicator 4:** 90% of other professional vacancies for the past 12 months were filled within 60 days. **Process Indicator 5:** 

OCDD Quality Review Framewor	<u>k</u>				6
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Facility tracks longitudinal data on staffing ratios.  Process Indicator 6: Facility tracks longitudinal data on use of overtime for direct support positions.  Process Indicator 7: Facility tracks longitudinal data on use of overtime for nursing positions.  Process Indicator 8: Facility has process(es) for ensuring that licensed/certified professionals maintain required licensure/certification.  Outcome Indicator 1: Title XIX Direct Support Staff staffing ratios met for 95% of shifts in designated pay period.  Outcome Indicator 2: Required nursing ratios met for 95% of shifts in designated pay period.				

<b>OCDD Quality Review Frameworl</b>	k					7
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Outcome Indicator 3: Other professional staff ratios met at time of review.					
	Outcome Indicator 4: 90% of direct support positions are filled at time of review.					
	Outcome Indicator 5: 90% of nursing positions are filled at time of review.					
	Outcome Indicator 6: Stable or decreasing rate of direct support overtime over the past 12 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 7: Stable or decreasing rate of nursing overtime over the past 12 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 8: Stable or decreasing turnover rate for direct support staff over the past 24 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 9: Stable or decreasing turnover rate for nursing staff over the past 24 months.					

**OCDD Quality Review Framework** Not **Performance Indicator** Met If Not Met, Why Not? **Supporting Documentation** Recommendations Area Met **Outcome Indicator 10:** Stable or decreasing overall turnover rate over the past 24 months. **Outcome Indicator 11:** 100% of professionals in positions requiring licensure/ certification have current licensure/certification. D. Supports and Services Center **Overall Compliance Level for Section I.D.:** Compliance Partial Compliance Not in Compliance will ensure that adequate **Process Indicator 1:** administrative oversight is provided Facility has process(es) for afterhours and on weekends and ensuring that adequate holidays. administrative oversight is provided afterhours. **Process Indicator 2:** Facility has process(es) for ensuring that adequate administrative oversight is provided on weekends and holidays. **Process Indicator 3:** Facility has process(es) for gathering information about positive and negative findings from staff providing administrative oversight.

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framework</b>	k					9
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Process Indicator 4: Facility has process(es) for responding to positive and negative findings from staff providing administrative oversight.					
	Process Indicator 5: Facility has process(es) for analyzing aggregate information from administrative oversight process(es).					
	Process Indicator 6: Facility has process(es) for initiating performance improvement if/when systemic issues are identified by or related to the administrative oversight process(es).					
	Process Indicator 7: Administrative oversight provided per facility standards across at least 95% of opportunities.  Outcome Indicator 1: At least 90% of findings					

Area Process/Day Programming/Transition Services Parcials Supporting Documentation Recommendations If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation Recommendations If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation Recommendations    Area   Not Met Not Met, Why Not?   Supporting Documentation   Recommendations	OCDD Quality Review Framewor	k				10
E. Peer Review completed annually for the following areas:  1. Protection From Harm/Risk Management  2. Team Process/Day Programming/Transition  days of report of finding.  Overall Compliance Level for Section I.E.: Compliance  Partial Compliance  Partial Compliance  Not in Compliance	Area	Performance Indicator Met		If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
for the following areas: 1. Protection From Harm/Risk     Management 2. Team Process/Day     Programming/Transition  Process Indicator 1: Protection From Harm/Risk Management peer review completed within past 12 months.		days of report of finding.				
1. Protection From Harm/Risk Management Anagement Process/Day Programming/Transition  Protection From Harm/Risk Management peer review completed within past 12 months.	-		ion I.E.:	Compliance Partial Compliance	ompliance Not in Complian	ce
3. Psychology/Psychiatry 4. Medical/Nursing 5. Therapy Services  Team Process/Day Programming/Transition Services peer review completed within past 12 months.  Process Indicator 3: Psychology/Psychiatry peer review completed within past 12 months.	<ol> <li>Protection From Harm/Risk Management</li> <li>Team Process/Day Programming/Transition Services</li> <li>Psychology/Psychiatry</li> <li>Medical/Nursing</li> </ol>	Protection From Harm/Risk Management peer review completed within past 12 months.  Process Indicator 2: Team Process/Day Programming/Transition Services peer review completed within past 12 months.  Process Indicator 3: Psychology/Psychiatry peer review completed within past				
Process Indicator 4:  Medical/Nursing peer review completed within past 12 months.		Process Indicator 4: Medical/Nursing peer review completed within past 12 months.				
Process Indicator 5: Therapy Services peer review completed within past 12 months.  Process Indicator 6:		Therapy Services peer review completed within past 12 months.				

OCDD Quality Review Framewo	ork	T T		11
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Facility developed and			
	implemented action plans to			
	address peer review			
	findings/recommendations.  Outcome Indicator 1:			
	All Protection From Harm/			
	Risk Management findings/			
	recommendations addressed			
	and resolved.			
	Outcome Indicator 2:			
	All Team Process/Day			
	Programming/ Transition			
	Services findings/			
	recommendations addressed			
	and resolved.			
	Outcome Indicator 3:			
	All Psychology/Psychiatry			
	peer review			
	findings/recommendations addressed and resolved.			
	Outcome Indicator 4:			
	All Medical/Nursing peer			
	review			
	findings/recommendations			
	addressed and resolved.			
	O d T II d F	+		

Outcome Indicator 5:
All Therapy Services peer

OCDD Quality Review Frame	ework	1				1
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	review					
	findings/recommendations addressed and resolved.					
	<b>Outcome Indicator 6:</b>					

	addressed and resolved.						
	Outcome Indicator 6:						
	Overall compliance score of						
	90% or higher on each of the						
	peer reviews completed in						
	the past 12 months.						
	Outcome Indicator 7:						
	Stable or increasing peer						
	review compliance score in						
	each of the peer review						
	areas.						
F. Supports and Services Center is	Overall Compliance Level for	r Secti	on I.F.	: Compliance	Partial Comp	pliance Not in Complian	nce
responsive to Consumer Complaints	Process Indicator 1:						
in accordance with OCDD policy.	Facility has process(es) for						
	ensuring that Consumer						
	Complaints are identified,						
	reported, processed, and						
	responded to in accordance						
	with OCDD policy <sup>2</sup> .						
	<b>Process Indicator 2:</b>						
	Facility met Consumer						
	Complaint processing						
	timelines for 90% of						
	Consumer Complaints						
	received within the past 12						

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	months.  Outcome Indicator 1: 90% of Consumer Complaints received within the past 12 months were resolved to the complainant's satisfaction.					
G. Enhanced supervision should not be used in lieu of appropriate and effective behavioral and mental health treatment strategies. While a small number of individuals may require ongoing enhanced supervision due to the risks associated with its removal, for most individuals, enhanced supervision should represent a short-term strategy that will be faded as soon as possible.	Overall Compliance Level fo Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for management of enhanced supervision.  Process Indicator 2: Enhanced Supervision plans include staff to resident ratio, required proximity of staff to resident, shift(s) resident receives enhanced supervision, plan for reducing the need for enhanced supervision, and plan for fading enhanced supervision including discontinuation criteria.	r Secti	ion I.G	:: Compliance Partial Com	pliance Not in Complian	nce
	Process Indicator 3: Enhanced Supervision Committee minutes reflect a					

Area	Performance Indicator	Met Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	critical review of Enhanced				
	Supervision plans.				
	Process Indicator 4:				
	Behavior plans for 95% of				
	those residents currently				
	receiving enhanced				
	supervision for				
	behavioral/psychiatric reasons include intensive				
	interventions commensurate				
	with the resources assigned.				
	<b>Process Indicator 5:</b>				
	In 95% of medical enhanced				
	supervision cases there is				
	evidence of appropriate				
	complementary interventions				
	from the psychologist (or other discipline).				
	Outcome Indicator 1:				
	Enhanced supervision is of				
	90 day or less duration in				
	90% of cases requiring				
	enhanced supervision in the				
	past 12 months.				
	Outcome Indicator 2:				
	90% of individuals requiring				
	enhanced supervision for				

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	greater than 90 consecutive days in the past 12 months are making progress toward meeting criteria for discontinuation of enhanced supervision.  Outcome Indicator 3: 90% of individuals currently receiving enhanced supervision for behavioral/ psychiatric reasons have experienced improved behavioral/psychiatric stability since being placed on enhanced supervision.				
H. The Supports and Services Center will achieve its goals and objectives as set forth in the Transformation Plan.	Overall Compliance Level for Sect Process Indicator 1: The facility has process(es) in place to ensure achievement of Transformation Plan <sup>3</sup> goals and objectives. Outcome Indicator 1: The facility has achieved/is on track to achieve its Transformation Work Plan targets for FY 09/10.	ion I.H	.: Compliance Partial	Compliance Not in Complian	nce

OCDD Quality Review Framework	16
-------------------------------	----

If Not Met, Why Not?

**Supporting Documentation** 

Recommendations

Not Met

**Performance Indicator** 

Area

				,		
A Supports and Sarvicas Center	Overall Compliance Level for Section	n II A ·	Protection From Form Form Form Form Form Form Form	larm Partial Compliance	Not in Complia	inca
A. Supports and Services Center will meet basic care needs (e.g. adequate clothing and hygiene items and appropriate access to the same, timely and appropriate assistance with toileting and other hygiene needs, adequate and nutritious meals) for all residents.	Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for ensuring that basic care needs are met for all residents ongoingly.	п п.А.:	Comphance	Partial Compliance	Not in Compile	ince
	Process Indicator 2: Facility has process(es) for identifying and reporting failures to meet basic care needs.					
	Process Indicator 3: Facility has process(es) for investigating failures to meet basic care needs.					
	Process Indicator 4: Facility has process(es) for remediating failures to meet basic care needs.					
	Process Indicator 5: Facility analyzes data regarding failures to meet					

OCDD Quality Review Framework							
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations	
	basic care needs.						
	Process Indicator 6: Facility has process(es) for initiating performance improvement if/when systemic issues related to meeting basic care needs are identified.						
	Process Indicator 7: 95% of corrective actions assigned to remediate failures to meet basic care needs completed within required timeframes for the past 12 months.						
	Outcome Indicator 1: Neglect allegations related to failure to meet basic care needs per month for past twelve months.						
	Outcome Indicator 2: Neglect confirmations related to failure to meet basic care needs per month						

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	for past twelve months.				
	Outcome Indicator 3: Zero individuals named as victim in more than one neglect confirmation related to failure to meet basic care needs in past 12 months.				
B. Supports and Services Center	Overall Compliance Level for Sect	ion II.E	3.: Compliance Partial C	Compliance Not in Complia	nce
will safeguard all residents' personal possessions.	Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for ensuring that all residents' personal possessions are safeguarded ongoingly.				
	Process Indicator 2: Facility has process(es) for identifying and reporting failures to safeguard residents' personal possessions.				
	Process Indicator 3: Facility has process(es) for investigating failures to safeguard residents' personal				

OCDD Quality Review Framewor	·k			19
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?  Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	possessions.			
	Process Indicator 4: Facility has process(es) for remediating failures to safeguard personal possessions.			
	Process Indicator 5: Facility analyzes data regarding failures to safeguard residents' personal possessions.			
	Process Indicator 6: Facility has process(es) for initiating performance improvement if/when systemic issues related to safeguarding residents' personal possessions are identified.			
	Process Indicator 7: 95% of corrective actions assigned to remediate failures to safeguard			

OCDD Quality Review Framework	k			20
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	residents' personal possessions completed within required timeframes for the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 1: Abuse/neglect/exploitation allegations related to failure to safeguard residents' personal property per month for the past 12 months.			
	Outcome Indicator 2: Abuse/neglect/exploitation confirmations related to failure to safeguard residents' personal property per month for the past 12 months.			
	Outcome Indicator 3: Number of loss/stolen personal property reports per month for the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 4: Zero individuals named as			

OCDD Quality Review Framework	<u> </u>		21

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	victim in more than one abuse/neglect/ exploitation confirmation related to failure to safeguard personal property in past 12 months.					
C. Supports and Services Center will provide a safe and humane	Overall Compliance Level fo Process Indicator 1:	r Secti	ion II.	C.: Compliance Partial Con	npliance Not in Complia	nce
environment.	Facility has process(es) for ensuring that all residents are provided a safe and humane environment/for preventing abuse/neglect.					
	Process Indicator 2: Facility has process(es) for identifying and reporting abuse/neglect. <sup>4</sup>					
	Process Indicator 3: 95% of abuse/neglect allegations were reported timely in past 12 months.					
	Process Indicator 4: Facility has process(es) for protecting the victim(s) in abuse/neglect investigations.					

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framewor</b>	k				22
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Process Indicator 5: Target staff removed from direct care immediately upon discovery/report of allegation in 100% of abuse/neglect investigations in the past 12 months.				
	Process Indicator 6: Facility has process(es) for preserving evidence in abuse/neglect investigations.				
	Process Indicator 7: Evidence was preserved properly in 95% of abuse/neglect investigations in the past 12 months.				
	Process Indicator 8: Facility has process(es) for investigating abuse/neglect.				
	Process Indicator 9: Facility has process(es) for remediating abuse/neglect.				

OCDD Quality Review Framework	OCDD Quality Review Framework							
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations		
	Process Indicator 10: 95% of corrective actions assigned to remediate abuse/neglect completed within required timeframes for the past 12 months.							
	Process Indicator 11: Facility analyzes data regarding abuse/neglect.							
	Process Indicator 12: Facility has process(es) for initiating performance improvement if/when systemic issues related to abuse/neglect are identified.							
	Outcome Indicator 1: Abuse/neglect allegations per month for the past 24 months.							
	Outcome Indicator 2:							

Abuse/neglect confirmations per month for the past 24 months.

OCDD Quality Review Framework

Area	Performance Indicator	Met Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Outcome Indicator 3: Zero individuals named as victim in more than one abuse/neglect confirmation in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 4: Zero individuals experienced ongoing harm as a result of staff's delayed reporting of				
D. Supports and Services Center completed criminal background checks prior to hiring staff to work with residents	abuse/neglect in the past 12 months.  Overall Compliance Level for Process Indicator 1: 100% of prospective new employees had criminal background checks completed prior to starting work at the facility.	or Section II.I	D.: Compliance Partial Con	npliance Not in Complia	nnce

OCDD Quality Review Framework						
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Outcome Indicator 1:					
	100% of prospective					
	employees whose criminal					
	background checks revealed					
	employment barring offenses					
	were not offered					
	employment with the facility.	G 4			li Villa Cilli	
E. Supports and Services Center	Overall Compliance Level fo	r Sect	ion II.	E.: Compliance Partial Con	npliance Not in Complia	ince
implements a risk management process that includes the following:	Process Indicator 1:					
1. documentation of all	Facility implements a risk management process which					
incidents as defined in	meets criteria listed (i.e.					
policy	items 1-5 in Area column).					
2. Individualized review of	items 1 3 m 1 med cordinary.					
incidents meeting certain	<b>Process Indicator 2:</b>					
thresholds at specified levels	Facility has process(es) for					
within the agency to assure	ensuring that all incidents are					
that those at higher risk	reported and reviewed per					
receive interventions to	policy/procedure					
eliminate risk factors	requirements.					
3. Oversight of the process on						
an individual basis by a	Process Indicator 3:					
clinical review committee	95% of incidents crossing					
4. Tracking and analyzing	Interdisciplinary Team-level					
patterns and trends of key risk indicators	review thresholds in the past 12 months resulted in an					
5. Development of corrective						
J. Development of confective	Interdisciplinary Team					

OCDD Quality Review Framework			26

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
action plans as appropriate based upon 1-4.	review with appropriate documentation in the unified record.				
	Process Indicator 4: 100% of cases crossing Clinical Review Committee- level review thresholds in the past 12 months were referred to Clinical Review Committee for review.				
	Process Indicator 5: 95% of cases referred to Clinical Review Committee for review were reviewed within established timeframes.				
	Process Indicator 6: Facility has process(es) for developing and implementing corrective actions at the individual level (i.e. individual-specific corrective actions for incidents, Team level				

<b>OCDD Quality Review Frame</b>	work				27_
Area	Performance Indicator Me	t Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	reviews, and Clinical Review Committee level reviews).				
	Process Indicator 7: 95% of individual-specific corrective actions identified in 10% random sample of records completed within required timeframes.				
	Process Indicator 8: Facility has process(es) for tracking and analyzing aggregate key indicator data for trends and patterns.				
	Process Indicator 9: Facility has process(es) for initiating performance improvement if/when systemic issues related to key indicator data are identified.				
	Outcome Indicator 1: Appropriately stable or decreasing trend in the number of cases crossing				

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Clinical Review Committee threshold per indicator per month for the past 12 months.			
	Outcome Indicator 2: Less than 20% of cases crossing Interdisciplinary Team-level review thresholds in the past 12 months have met the Clinical Review Committee threshold for review.			
	Outcome Indicator 3: Less than 10% of cases crossing Clinical Review Committee threshold in the past 12 months have met the Clinical Review Committee plus consultant threshold for review.			
	Outcome Indicator 4: Appropriately stable or decreasing rate of major injuries over the past 24			

OCDD Quality Review Framework						
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	months.					
	Outcome Indictor 5: Appropriately stable or decreasing rate of falls over the past 24 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 6: Appropriately stable or decreasing rate of choking over the past 24 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 7: Appropriately stable or decreasing rate of hospitalizations over the past 24 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 8: Appropriately stable or decreasing rate of bowel obstruction over the past 24 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 9: Appropriately stable or decreasing rate of SIB over					

OCDD Quality Review Framew	vork				30
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	the past 24 months.				
	Outcome Indicator 10: Appropriately stable or decreasing rate of protective support use over the past 24 months.				
	Outcome Indicator 11: Appropriately stable or decreasing rate of altercations with injury over the past 24 months.				
	Outcome Indicator 12: Appropriately stable or decreasing rate of refractory seizures over the past 24 months.				

OCDD Quality Review Framework	31
-------------------------------	----

Area Performance Indicator Met Not Met Met If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
-----------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------

	III. In	terdisciplinary Team Fu	inctioning	
A. Supports and Services Center	<b>Overall Compliance Level for Section III.A.:</b>	Compliance	Partial Compliance	Not in Compliance
completes an interdisciplinary	Process Indicator 1:			
evaluation at required intervals of	In 95% of 10% random sample			
each resident to determine specific	of records reviewed, each			
areas in which each resident needs	required discipline-specific			
support, training, or other services.	assessment/evaluation was			
	completed within the past 12			
	months.			
	Process Indicator 2:			
	In 95% of 10% random sample			
	of records reviewed,			
	new/updated discipline-specific			
	assessment/evaluation was			
	conducted if/when the individual			
	had a significant change in status.			
	Process Indicator 3:			
	In 100% of 10% random sample			
	of records reviewed, a clinical			
	case formulation was present.			
	r r			
	Process Indicator 4:			
	In 95% of 10% random sample			
	of records reviewed,			
	Individualized Support Plan			

OCDD Quality Review Frame	work				32
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	development/implementation timelines were met.  Outcomes Indicator 1: Score of 95% or higher on Assessment section of Individualized Supports Review per quarter for the past four quarters.  Outcomes Indicator 2: Score of 95% or higher on Assessment section of Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review.  Outcome Indicator 3: Score of 95% or higher on Case Formulation section of Individualized Supports Review per quarter for the past four quarters.				
	Outcome Indicator 4: Score of 95% or higher on Case Formulation section of Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review.				

OCDD Quality Review Framework

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
B. Supports and Services Center develops an individualized Individualized Support Plan for each resident based upon the interdisciplinary assessment	Outcome Indicator 5: Score of 95% or higher on Timelines section of Individualized Supports Review per quarter for the past four quarters.  Outcome Indicator 6: Score of 95% or higher on Timelines section of Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review Overall Compliance Level for Process Indicator 1: In 100% of 10% random sample of records reviewed, Individualized Support Plan was completed within the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 1: Score of 95% or higher on Support Planning section of Individualized Supports Review per quarter for the past four quarters.	Section Sectio	on III.	B.: Compliance Partial Cor	npliance Not in Complia	ince

**OCDD Quality Review Framework** Not **Performance Indicator** Met If Not Met, Why Not? **Supporting Documentation** Recommendations Area Met **Outcome Indicator 2:** Score of 95% or higher on Support Planning section of Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review. C. Supports and Services Center **Overall Compliance Level for Section III.C.:** Compliance Partial Compliance Not in Compliance develops and implements an **Process Indicator 1:** Individualized Support Plan for In 95% of 10% random sample each resident based upon the of records reviewed, most recent interdisciplinary assessment. The Profile of Support was completed Individualized Support Plan should within the past 30 days/90 days result in the following: per facility standard. 1. Increased skills acquisition 2. Decreased behavioral/ **Process Indicator 2:** psychiatric symptoms The facility has process(es) for 3. Medical Stability assessing the effectiveness of the 4. Increased Quality of Life Individualized Support Plan in increasing skill acquisition, decreasing behavioral/ psychiatric symptoms, ensuring medical stability, and increasing quality of life.

OCDD Quality Review Framework	k					35
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Process Indicator 3: Facility Individualized Supports Review Outcomes data achieves 90% inter-rater reliability with reviewer Individualized Support Review scores.  Process Indicator 4: Facility Program Observation observer achieves 90% inter-rate	S S				
	Outcome Indicator 1: Score of 95% or higher on Implementation section of Individualized Supports Review per quarter for the past four quarters.					
	Outcome Indicator 2: Score of 95% or higher on Implementation section of Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review Outcome Indicator 3:					

OCDD Quality Review Framework					
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations	
	Score of 95% or higher on Monitoring section of Individualized Supports Review per quarter for the past four quarters.				
	Outcome Indicator 4: Score of 95% or higher on Monitoring section of Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review.				
	Outcome Indicator 5: Score of 95% or higher Total Compliance on Individualized Supports Review per quarter for the past four quarters.				
	Outcome Indicator 6: Score of 95% or higher on Total Compliance on Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review.				
	Outcome Indicator 7: Score of 95% or higher on Increased Skills Acquisition				

OCDD Quality Review Framewo	rk			37
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	on Recommendations
	section of Individualized Supports Review per quarter for the past four quarters.			
	Outcome Indicator 8: Score of 95% or higher on Increased Skills Acquisition section of Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review.			
	Outcome Indicator 9: Score of 95% or higher on Behavioral/Psychiatric Outcomes section of Individualized Supports Review per quarter for the past four quarters.			
	Outcome Indicator 10: Score of 95% or higher on Behavioral/Psychiatric section of Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review.			
	Outcome Indicator 11: Score of 95% or higher on Medical Stability section of			

OCDD Quality Review Framewo	ork			38
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Individualized Supports Review	<u> </u>		
	per quarter for the past four quarters.			
	Outcome Indicator 12: Score of 95% or higher on Medical Stability section of			
	Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review.			
	Outcome Indicator 13: Score of 95% or higher on Quality of Life section of Individualized Supports Review per quarter for the past four quarters.			
	Outcome Indicator 14: Score of 95% or higher on Quality of Life section of Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review.			
	Outcome Indicator 15: Score of 95% or higher per Program Observation indicator per quarter for the past four			

**OCDD Quality Review Framework** Not **Performance Indicator** Met If Not Met, Why Not? **Supporting Documentation** Recommendations Area Met quarters. **Outcome Indicator 16:** Score of 95% or higher per Program Observation indicator for Program Observations conducted during on-site review. D. Supports and Services Center **Overall Compliance Level for Section III.D.:** Compliance Partial Compliance Not in Compliance provides support and training **Process Indicator 1:** within the most integrated setting Facility has process(es) for appropriate to each individual. providing support and training within the most integrated setting appropriate to the individual. **Process Indicator 2:** 90% of residents are currently enrolled in day services (i.e. day program and/or vocational program). **Process Indicator 3:** Facility has a methodology for

teaching day service curricula in community-based settings.

**Process Indicator 4:** Facility has process(es) for

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framew</b>	vork				40
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	providing residents with at least 20 hour per week of active treatment.				
	Process Indicator 5: 90% of residents who participate in day services are currently scheduled to do so for four hours per day.				
	Process Indicator 6: All residents who are not currently enrolled in day services receive 20 hours of active treatment in the residential and/or community settings.				
	Process Indicator 7: Facility has process(es) for tracking residents' actual day service attendance.				
	Process Indicator 8: 90% of residents who participate in day services have actually attended day services for an average of four hours per day				

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framew</b>	vork				41
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	over the past three months.				
	Process Indicator 9: All residents scheduled to participate four hours per day in day services but who did not actually participate in an average of four hours per day over the past three months received active treatment in the residential and/or community settings to meet the required 20 hours per week of active treatment.				
	Process Indicator 10: Facility has process(es) for involving the Interdisciplinary Team in selecting, monitoring, reviewing, and modifying the day service activities residents participate in.				
	Process Indicator 11: 90% alignment between day program classes and individual goals and objectives in the Individual Support Plans for 90%				

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framework</b>	k				42
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	of day program participants sampled during the on-site review.				
	Process Indicator 12: Facility has process(es) for collecting and analyzing day services data (i.e. day program and vocational).				
	Process Indicator 13: Day program class/class curricula modified in response to lack of progress or regression in 90% of cases sampled during the on-site review.				
	Process Indicator 14: Facility allocates to day services the staffing, fiscal, physical plant, and other resources needed to meet the overall needs of the program and to develop learning environments that are contextually appropriate for the skills taught.				

<b>OCDD Quality Review Frame</b>	ework				43
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Process Indicator 15: 90% match between contextual environment and individual skill(s) taught in day program settings observed during the onsite review.				
	Process Indicator 16: 90% match between contextual environment and vocational tasks carried out in vocational settings observed during the on-site review.				
	Process Indicator 17: Facility has process(es) for measuring, analyzing, and remediating problematic trends and patterns related to residents' engagement at day services.				
	Process Indicator 18: Facility staff responsible for conducting engagement observations at day services achieved 90% inter-rater reliability with reviewer during				

OCDD Quality Review Framewo	ork				44
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	observations conducted during on –site visit.				
	Process Indicator 19: Facility has process(es) for ensuring that vocational program complies with applicable State and Federal standards.				
	Process Indicator 20: 95% of time and motion studies conducted in past 12 months meet Department of Labor standards.				
	Process Indicator 21: Facility has process(es) for developing job opportunities for residents that are non-traditional for people with developmental disabilities (i.e. opportunities other than paper shredding, janitorial, etc.).				
	Process Indicator 22: Facility has process(es) in place to provide meaningful activities				

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framew</b>	ork				45_
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	in the residential milieu that foster engagement and facilitate incidental learning opportunities that are linked to residents' support plans.				
	Process Indicator 23: Facility has process(es) in place to provide meaningful activities in the community milieu that foster engagement and facilitate learning opportunities that are linked to residents' support plans.				
	Outcome Indicator 1: Transformation Work Plan target met for percentage of residents receiving at least eight hours per month of community-based learning opportunities.				
	Outcome Indicator 2: Percentage of residents participating in community-based instruction at least once per month has increased over the				

OCDD Quality Review Framework	k			46_
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	past 12 months.			
	Outcome Indicator 3: Number of hours of day service- initiated community integration activity per month has increased over the past 12 months.			
	Outcome Indicator 4: Transformation Work Plan target met for percentage of residents working in community-based jobs.			
	Outcome Indicator 5: Percentage of residents enrolled in vocational program has remained stable or increased over the past 12 months.			
	Outcome Indicator 6: Percentage of jobs comprised primarily of non-traditional intellectual disability tasks has increased over the past 12 months.			

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framework</b>	k					47
Area	Performance Indicator N	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Outcome Indicator 7: Score of 80% or higher engagement on meaningful engagement observations conducted in day service setting(s) per quarter for the past four quarters.  Outcome Indicator 8: Score of 80% or higher					
E. Supports and Services Center	engagement on meaningful engagement observations conducted in day service setting(s) during on-site review.  Overall Compliance Level for S	Section	on III.	E.: Compliance Partial Cor	mpliance Not in Compli	ance
requires attendance and participation in Interdisciplinary Team meetings by all appropriate Interdisciplinary Team members.	Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for tracking and analyzing attendance at Interdisciplinary Team meetings by all appropriate Interdisciplinary Team members.					
	Process Indicator 2: 95% of all Interdisciplinary Team meetings held in the past 12 months were attended by 95%	,				

OCDD Quality Review Framework	K					48
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	of core Interdisciplinary Team members.					
	Process Indicator 3: Facility has process(es) for tracking and analyzing participation in Interdisciplinary Team meetings by all appropria Interdisciplinary Team member	ate				
	Process Indicator 4: Facility has process(es) for remediating problematic trends and patterns in Interdisciplinary Team member attendance and/o participation.	<i>y</i>				
	Outcome Indicator 1: Actions to resolve issues identified in Interdisciplinary Team meetings were delayed do to lack of Team member attendance in less than 20% of cases reviewed during on-site visit.	ue				

OCDD Quality Review Framework

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met If Not Met, Why No	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
------	-----------------------	-----	-------------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------

IV. Psychological Services								
	<b>Overall Compliance Level for Section IV.A.:</b>	Compliance	Partial Compliance	Not in Compliance				
A. Supports and Services Center	Process Indicator 1:							
completes functional behavioral	In 95% of 10% random							
assessments that include the	sample of records reviewed,							
following:	a current functional							
1. Description of the behavior	behavioral assessment							
2. Collection and review of	including the required							
empirical data	elements is present for							
3. Assessment of the	residents with targeted							
behavioral frequency,	challenging behaviors.							
topography, intensity,	Process Indicator 2:							
duration, and severity	Facility has process(es) for							
4. Evaluation of environmental	assessing the clinical							
factors that may contribute	adequacy of functional							
to the behavior	behavioral assessments.							
5. Evaluation of antecedents,	Process Indicator 3:							
consequences, and	Facility has process(es)							
function(s) of the behavior	requiring ongoing							
6. Assessment of any medical,	observation, review of data,							
nursing, mental health, or	and revisions to the							
other conditions related to	behavioral assessment							
the behavior (should	throughout the year as							
reference assessment	needed.							
methodology—i.e. how was	Process Indicator 4:							
this done—not just have a	In 95% of 10% random							

OCDD Quality Review Framework	k			50
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
simple statement that these factors are not related).  7. Treatment hypotheses and recommendations	sample of records reviewed (Profile of Support progress entries), evidence of ongoing observation, review of data, and revisions to the behavioral assessment is present in the resident's unified record as appropriate.  Process Indicator 5: In 95% of selected sample records reviewed (i.e. residents who crossed certain behavior-related risk management thresholds) there is evidence of corrective actions taken to reduce the resident's risk of harm to self or others inclusive of additional assessments.  Outcome Indicator 1: Score of 95% or higher on Functional Assessment section of Positive Behavior Support/Psychiatry Services <sup>5</sup> review tool per quarter for the past four quarters.			

OCDD Quality Review Framework	K				51_
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Outcome Indicator 2: Score of 95% or higher on Functional Assessment section of Positive Behavior Support/Psychiatry Services review tool conducted during the on-site review.				
B. For all individuals identified as requiring behavioral/psychological supports, a positive behavior support plan is developed which	Overall Compliance Level for Section Process Indicator 1: In 95% of 10% random sample of records reviewed,	ion IV.E	B.: Compliance Pa	artial Compliance Not in Compl	iance
includes the following:  1. definition of the specific, measurable, objective behavior to increase/decrease 2. incorporation of the	a current positive behavior support plan including the required elements is present for residents identified as requiring behavioral/psychological supports.				
individualized functional analysis such that there is	Process Indicator 2: Facility has process(es) for				

OCDD Quality Review Framework						
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
consistency of information	accessing the alinical	1				
consistency of information across the assessment and	assessing the clinical adequacy of positive					
plan documents and the FA						
information is used in	Process Indicator 3:					
formulating the behavioral						
strategies	requiring ongoing					
3. incorporation of the medic						
and/or psychiatric disorder						
that impact the behavioral	behavior support plan					
problems to include	throughout the year as					
strategies for staff respons						
to mental health	<b>Process Indicator 4:</b>					
symptoms/episodes, use of						
more typical mental health						
treatment options as	(Profile of Support progress					
appropriate, and threshold						
for response to medical	observation, review of data,					
issues	and revisions to the positive					
4. Procedures for staff to	behavior support plan is					
follow to decrease the	present in the resident's					
occurrence of challenging behavior	unified record as appropriate.					
5. Skills and positive/adaptive	Process Indicator 5: In 95% of selected sample					
behaviors (including	records reviewed (i.e.					
replacement behaviors and	residents who crossed certain					
teaching of more general	behavior-related risk					
skills related to personal	management thresholds)					

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framework</b>	K .			53
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?  Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
outcomes issues important to the person) to be taught  6. Environmental changes to promote the development of positive/adaptive behaviors  7. Individualized reinforcers/ preferences  8. Adequate data collection procedures for challenging behaviors, mental health symptoms, related medical issues (if appropriate), and adaptive skills	there is evidence of corrective actions taken to reduce the resident's risk of harm to self or others inclusive of revision(s) to positive behavior support plan.  Outcome Indicator 1: Score of 95% or higher on PBS Procedures section of Positive Behavior Support/Psychiatry Services review tool per quarter for the past four quarters.			
	Outcome Indicator 2: Score of 95% or higher on PBS Procedures section of Positive Behavior Support/Psychiatry Services review tool conducted during the on-site review.  Outcome Indicator 3: Less than 20% of residents crossing Team-level behavior-related risk management threshold(s)			

**OCDD Quality Review Framework** Not If Not Met, Why Not? Area **Performance Indicator** Met **Supporting Documentation** Recommendations Met crossed corresponding Clinical Review Committeelevel threshold(s). **Outcome Indicator 4:** Less than 10% of residents crossing Clinical Review Committee-level behaviorrelated risk management threshold(s) crossed corresponding Clinical Review Committee plus consultant-level threshold(s). **Outcome Indicator 5:** Stable or decreasing rate of injuries resulting from SIB over the past 12 months. **Outcome Indicator 6:** 

## injuries resulting from SIB over the past 12 months. Outcome Indicator 6: Stable or decreasing rate of injuries resulting from clientto-client altercations over the past 12 months. Outcome Indicator 7: Stable or decreasing rate of pica-related incidents over the past 12 months. Outcome Indicator 8: Stable or decreasing rate of

OCDD Quality Review Framework								
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations			
	complications related to rumination over the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 9: Stable or decreasing rate of behavioral protective support use over the past 12 months.							
C. Supports and Services Center	Overall Compliance Level for Sect	ion IV.	C.: Compliance Partia	al Compliance Not in Complia	ance			
will implement above noted plans with the following results:  1. Increased skills acquisition  2. Decreased behavioral/ psychiatric symptoms	Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for monitoring implementation of positive behavior support plans.							
3. Increased Quality of Life	Process Indicator 2: Facility Program Observation observer achieves 90% inter-rater reliability reviewer scores.							
	Process Indicator 3: In 95% of 10% random sample of Profile of Support progress entries reviewed there is evidence that Program Observations were completed per facility standards.							

**Process Indicator 4:** 

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Facility Behavior Drill data achieves 90% inter-rater reliability with reviewer Behavior Drill scores.  Process Indicator 5: In 95% of 10% random sample of Profile of Support progress entries reviewed there is evidence that Behavior Drills were completed per facility standards.  Process Indicator 6: Facility Individualized Supports Review Outcomes data achieves 90% inter-rater reliability with reviewer Individualized Supports					
	Review scores.  Process Indicator 7: Facility Positive Behavior Support/Psychiatry Services Outcomes data achieves 90% inter-rater reliability with reviewer Positive Behavior Support/Psychiatry Services					

OCDD Quality Review Frameworl	k			57
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Process Indicator 8: Facility has process(es) for monitoring residents' progress toward mastery of learning based supports.  Outcome Indicator 1: Score of 95% or higher on Increased Skills Acquisition section of Individualized			
	Supports Review per quarter for the past four quarters.  Outcome Indicator 2:			
	Score of 95% or higher on Increased Skills Acquisition section of Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review.			
	Outcome Indicator 3: Score of 95% or higher on Behavioral/Psychiatric Outcomes section of Individualized Supports Review per quarter for the past four quarters.			

OCDD Quality Review Framew	vork					58
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
	Outcome Indicator 4: Score of 95% or higher on Behavioral/Psychiatric Outcomes section of Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review.					
	Outcome Indicator 5: Score of 95% or higher on Quality of Life section of Individualized Supports Review per quarter for the past four quarters.					
	Outcome Indicator 6: Score of 95% or higher on Monitoring section of Individualized Supports Review completed during					

on-site review.

**Outcome Indicator 7:** 

Score of 95% or higher Total Compliance on Individualized Supports

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framework</b>	<b>S</b>			59
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Review per quarter for the			
	past four quarters.			
	Outcome Indicator 8: Score of 95% or higher on Total Compliance on Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review.			
	Outcome Indicator 9: Score of 95% or higher on Quality of Life section of Individualized Supports Review completed during on-site review.			
	Outcome Indicator 10: Score of 95% or higher per Program Observation indicator per quarter for the past four quarters.			
	Outcome Indicator 11: Stable or increasing trend in percentage of learning based supports with progress toward mastery per month over the past 6 months.			
	Outcome Indicator 12:			

OCDD Quality Review Framework								

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Score of 95% or higher on Program Observations conducted by reviewer during on-site review.  Outcome Indicator 13: Score of 95% or higher on Behavior Drills conducted by reviewer during on-site review.					
D. Supports and Services Center will maintain a Behavior Intervention Committee to review restrictive behavioral programs.	Overall Compliance Level for Process Indicator 1: Facility has a Behavior Intervention Committee which reviews restrictive behavioral programs.	r Secti	ion IV	.D.: Compliance Partial Con	mpliance Not in Compli	ance
	Process Indicator 2: Behavior Intervention Committee uses an appropriate review tool to evaluate restrictive behavioral programs.					
	Process Indicator 3: Behavior Intervention Committee chairperson achieves 90% inter-rater reliability with reviewer on plans reviewed during on-					

OCDD Quality Review Framework	k					61
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	site review.					
	<b>Process Indicator 4:</b>					
	Behavior Intervention					
	Committee minutes reflect					
	critical review of restrictive					
	behavioral plans.					
	Outcome Indicator 1:					
	Score of 95% or higher on					
	Positive Behavior					
	Support/Psychiatry Services					
	completed as part of					
	Behavior Intervention					
	Committee review process					
	per quarter for the past four					
	quarters.					
	<b>Outcome Indicator 2:</b>					
	Stable or decreasing rate of					
	plans incorporating use of					
	overcorrection per month for					
	the past 24 months.					
	<b>Outcome Indicator 3:</b>					
	Stable or decreasing rate of					
	plans incorporating use of					
	Time Out Type IV per month					
	for the past 24 months.					
	<b>Outcome Indicator 4:</b>					
	Stable or decreasing rate of					

OCDD Q	Review Framework	62
--------	------------------	----

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	plans incorporating use of response cost per month for the past 24 months.					

			V. F	Protective Supports a	nd Procedures		
	Overall Compliance Level for	r Secti	ion V.A.:	Compliance	Partial Compliance	Not in Complian	ce
A. Supports and Services Center	<b>Process Indicator 1:</b>						
will reduce use of behavioral	Facility has process(es) for						
protective supports and procedures	reducing the use of						
	behavioral protective						
	supports and procedures.						
	<b>Process Indicator 2:</b>						
	Facility analyzes behavioral						
	protective support and						
	procedure data.						
	<b>Process Indicator 3:</b>						
	Facility has process(es) for						
	initiating performance						
	improvement if/when						
	systemic issues related to						
	behavioral protective support						
	and procedure use are						
	identified.						
	<b>Process Indicator 4:</b>						
	Facility has process(es) for						
	initiating corrective actions						
	if/when individual-specific						

<b>OCDD Quality Review Frame</b>	ework	1				63
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	issues related to behavioral protective support and procedure use are identified.  Outcome Indicator 1:  Decreasing rate of behavioral protective support use over the past 24 months.  Outcome Indicator 2:  Data on frequency of protective support use for 90% of individuals subject to behavioral protective support use in the past 12 months reflect a stable or decreasing trend.  Outcome Indicator 3:  Data on duration of protective support use for 90% of individuals subject to behavioral protective support use for 90% of individuals subject to behavioral protective support use in the past 12 months reflect a stable or decreasing trend.					

OCDD Quality Review Framework	<b>S</b>	ı	I			64
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
B. For each use of Behavioral	Overall Compliance Level fo	r Secti	ion V.	B.: Compliance Partial Com	pliance Not in Compliance	
Protective Supports assure that the	Process Indicator 1:					
following occurs and is	Facility has process(es) to					
documented:	assure that all required actions occur and are					
Individual is provided with continuous visual	documented for each use of					
supervision as evidenced by	behavioral protective					
15 minute documented	supports.					
behavioral status	Process Indicator 2:					
2. Immediate notification of	95% of required actions are					
the on-site supervisor	conducted and documented					
3. Notification and approval by						
a psychologist	protective support uses in the					
4. Timely assessment by a	past 12 months based on					
nurse	review of behavioral					
5. Checks for vital signs,	protective support forms.					
respiration, circulation, and	<b>Process Indicator 3:</b>					
mental status every hour	Facility has process(es) for					
6. Opportunities for exercise if	identifying and evaluating					
longer than 50 minutes	staff failure to conduct					
7. Opportunities for toileting,	and/or document required					
eating, and drinking if longer than 50 minutes	actions for behavioral					
8. Release once no longer an	protective support uses.					
immediate danger to self or	Process Indicator 4:					
miniculate danger to sen of	Facility has process(es) for	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framework</b>	ζ					65
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
others  9. Review of restraint by psychologist within 1 working day  10. Review by Interdisciplinary Team within 30 days	initiating performance improvement if/when systemic issues related to conduct and/or documentation of required actions for behavioral protective support uses are identified.  Process Indicator 5: Facility has process(es) for initiating corrective actions if/when individual-specific issues related to conduct and/or documentation of required actions for behavioral protective support uses are identified.  Outcome Indicator 1: Zero deaths resulting from behavioral protective support use in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 2: Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral protective support use in the past 12 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 3:					

OCDD Quality Review Framework	k				66
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Zero medical emergencies or				
	complications resulting from				
	behavioral protective support				
	use in the past 12 months.				
C. Supports and Services Center	Overall Compliance Level for Sect	ion V.C	C.: Compliance Partial C	ompliance Not in Complia	nce
will reduce use of medical	Process Indicator 1:		1		
protective supports and procedures	Facility has process(es) for				
	reducing the use of medical				
	protective supports and				
	procedures.				
	<b>Process Indicator 2:</b>				
	Interdisciplinary Teams				
	develop, implement,				
	monitor, and revise				
	desensitization plans as				
	appropriate to reduce				
	individual residents' need for				
	medical protective support				
	use.				
	Process Indicator 3:				
	Facility analyzes medical				
	protective support and				
	procedure data including by				
	practitioner, by clinic, and by				
	protective support type.				
	Process Indicator 4:				

Facility has process(es) for

OCDD Quality Review Framework	rk					67
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	initiating performance improvement if/when systemic issues related to medical protective support and procedure use are identified.  Process Indicator 5:					
	Facility has process(es) for initiating corrective actions if/when individual-specific issues related to medical protective support and procedure use are identified.					
	Outcome Indicator 1: Decreasing rate of medical protective support use over the past 24 months.  Outcome Indicator 2:					
	Data on frequency of protective support use for 90% of individuals subject to medical protective support use in the past 12 months reflect a stable or decreasing					
	trend.  Outcome Indicator 3:  Data on duration of					

OCDD Quality Review Framework	<b>K</b>					68
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	protective support use for 90% of individuals subject to medical protective support use in the past 12 months reflect a stable or decreasing trend.					
				VI. Psychiatric Services		
A. Supports and Services Center	Overall Compliance Level fo	r Sect	ion VI	•	mpliance Not in Compli	ance
will conduct a comprehensive	Process Indicator 1:					
assessment at required time	Facility has process(es) for					
intervals for each resident who has	management of psychiatric					
a mental health diagnosis and/or	services inclusive of required					
receives psychotropic medication.	time intervals for and					
The assessment should include the	required elements of					
following:	comprehensive assessments					
1. Diagnostic formulation for	for each resident who has a					
each DSM-IV-TR diagnosis	mental health diagnosis					
2. Review of medication	and/or receives psychotropic					
regimen	medication.					
3. Consultation with the	<b>Process Indicator 2:</b>					
psychologist to address	95% of unified records					
behavioral issues	reviewed for residents who					
4. Consultation with the	have a mental health					
Interdisciplinary Team to	diagnosis and/or receive					
complete a risk analysis	psychotropic medication					
5. A medication and	include a current					

OCDD Quality Review Framewo	ork				69
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
monitoring plan 6. Justification of use of polypharmacy	comprehensive psychiatric assessment per facility guidelines.  Process Indicator 3: 95% of comprehensive psychiatric assessments reviewed during the on-site review contain all of the required elements.  Process Indicator 4: Facility has process(es) for evaluation of clinical adequacy of comprehensive psychiatric assessments.  Process Indicator 5: Facility staff responsible for evaluation of comprehensive psychiatric assessments for clinical adequacy achieves 90% or higher inter-rater reliability with reviewer using Positive Behavior Support/Psychiatry Services tool.  Outcome Indicator 1:				
	Score of 95% or higher on Psychiatric Services section				

<b>OCDD Quality Review Frame</b>	work			70_
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	of Positive Behavior			
	Support/Psychiatry Services per quarter for the past four quarters.			
	Outcome Indicator 2: Score of 95% or higher on			
	Psychiatric Services section of Positive Behavior			
	Support/Psychiatry Services conducted during on-site			
	Outcome Indicator 3:			
	Rate of traditional antipsychotic medication use			
	is consistent with the expectation based upon rate of mental health conditions			
	for which traditional antipsychotics are considered			
	appropriate treatment (i.e. match between medication			
	use and mental health diagnosis).			
	Outcome Indicator 4: Rate of atypical			
	antipsychotic medication use is consistent with the			

OCDD Quality Review Framework								
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations		
	expectation based upon rate of mental health conditions for which atypical antipsychotics are considered appropriate treatment (i.e. match between medication use and mental health diagnosis).  Outcome Indicator 5: Rate of antidepressant medication use is consistent with the expectation based upon rate of mental health conditions for which antidepressants are considered appropriate treatment (i.e. match between medication use and mental health diagnosis).							
	Outcome Indicator 6: Rate of anti-anxiety medication use is consistent with the expectation based upon rate of mental health conditions for which anti- anxiety medications are considered appropriate							

OCDD Quality Review Framework								
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations		
	treatment (i.e. match between medication use and mental health diagnosis).  Outcome Indicator 7: Rate of mood stabilizer							
	medication use is consistent with the expectation based upon rate of mental health conditions for which mood stabilizers are considered							
	appropriate treatment (i.e. match between medication use and mental health diagnosis).							
	Outcome Indicator 8: Rate of other psychotropic medication use is consistent with the expectation based upon rate of mental health							
	conditions for which the other psychotropic medications are considered appropriate treatment (i.e. match between medication							
	use and mental health diagnosis).  Outcome Indicator 9:							

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framew</b>	ork			1	7:
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Stable, decreasing, or justifiably increasing psychotropic prevalence rate over the past 24 months.				
	Outcome Indicator 10: Stable, decreasing, or justifiably increasing rate of psychotropic intraclass polypharmacy over the past 24 months.				
	Outcome Indicator 11: Stable, decreasing, or justifiably increasing rate of psychotropic interclass polypharmacy over the past 24 months.				
	Outcome Indicator 12: Zero uses of PRN psychotropic medications in the past 12 months.				
	Outcome Indicator 13: Stable, decreasing, or justifiably increasing rate of psychotropic medication use for behavior control over the past 24 months.				

Area	Performance Indicator Met Not Met	If Not Met, V	Vhy Not? Supporting	ng Documentation	Recommendations
B. Supports and Services Center	Overall Compliance Level for Section VI.	B.: Compliance	Partial Compliance	Not in Complian	nce
shall ensure that informed consent	Process Indicator 1:				
is in place for all psychotropic	Facility has process(es) for				
medications in use at the facility.	obtaining and maintaining				
	informed consent for all				
	psychotropic medications in				
	use at the facility.				
	Outcome Indicator 1:				
	Documentation of current				
	informed consent is present				
	in 100% of records for				
	individuals receiving				
	psychotropic medications.	0 0 1'	D :: 1 C 1:	N G. II	
C. Supports and Services Center	Overall Compliance Level for Section VI.	C.: Compliance	Partial Compliance	Not in Complian	nce
shall implement a Drug Use	Process Indicator 1:				
Evaluation system to regularly	Facility has a Drug Use				
monitor resident's medications	Evaluation system which				
	facilitates regular monitoring				
	of residents' medications.				
	Process Indicator 2:				
	Facility has a Drug Use				
	Evaluation system which				
	facilitates correction of				
	individual-specific and				
	systemic issues identified as				
	a result of the monitoring of				

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framework</b>	ζ.					75
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	.1 , , 1. ,.					
	residents' medications.					
	Process Indicator 3:					
	In 95% of cases where Drug Use Evaluation revealed an					
	individual-specific issue					
	within the past 12 months the					
	issue was corrected/resolved					
	within 30 days.					
	Process Indicator 4:					
	95% of systemic issues					
	identified through the Drug					
	Use Evaluation process					
	within the past 12 months					
	were corrected/ resolved					
	within 90 days.					
	Process Indicator 5:					
	Facility staff responsible for					
	conducting Drug Use Evaluations achieves 95%					
	inter-rater reliability with					
	reviewer.					
	Outcome Indicator 1:					
	Appropriately stable or					
	decreasing rate of individual-					
	specific issues identified					
	through the Drug Use					
	Evaluation process over the					

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting De	ocumentation	Recommendations
	past 12 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 2:					
	Appropriately stable or					
	decreasing rate of systemic					
	issues identified through the					
	Drug Use Evaluation process					
	over the past 12 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 3:					
	Zero deaths resulting from					
	medication issues identified					
	through the Drug Use					
	Evaluation process.					
	Outcome Indicator 4:					
	Zero serious complications					
	resulting from medication					
	issues identified through the					
	Drug Use Evaluation					
D. Psychiatrist will complete a	process.  Overall Compliance Level for Section	on VI	D.: Compliance Part	tial Compliance	Not in Compli	ance
review of the individual's	Process Indicator 1:		D.: Comphanec 1 and	tiai Compilance	110t III Compil	
medication regimen, symptom	Facility has process(es)					
presentation, and effectiveness of	requiring at least quarterly					
treatment plan at least quarterly	review of the individual's					
	medication regimen,					
	symptom presentation, and					
	effectiveness of treatment					
	plan by a qualified					

OCDD Quality Review Framework	ζ.					77
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	T	ı	1			
	psychiatrist.					
	<b>Process Indicator 2:</b>					
	95% of unified records					
	reviewed for residents who					
	have a mental health					
	diagnosis and/or receive					
	psychotropic medication					
	include documentation of a					
	psychiatric review within the					
	past 90 days.					
	Process Indicator 3:					
	95% of quarterly psychiatric					
	reassessments reviewed					
	during the on-site review					
	contain all of the required					
	elements.					
	Process Indicator 4:					
	Facility has process(es) for					
	incorporating information					
	from quarterly psychiatric reassessments into the					
	residents' Profile of Support progress entries.					
	Process Indicator 5:					
	Facility has process(es) for					
	ensuring that information					
	from other disciplines is					
	from onici discipinies is					

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	reviewed by the psychiatrist and that interventions					
	indicate coordination across disciplines.					
	Process Indicator 5: Appropriate information from 95% of quarterly					
	psychiatric reassessments reviewed during the on-site					
	review is incorporated into the residents' Profile of					
	Support progress entries.  Outcome Indicator 1:  95% of residents in the 10%					
	sample reviewed during the on-site visit have					
	experienced a reduction in mental health index behaviors over the past 12					
E. Supports and Services Center	months.  Overall Compliance Level for	r Secti	ion VI	E.: Compliance Partial Co	ompliance Not in Compli	ance
Psychiatrist with Interdisciplinary Team conducts monitoring of side	Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for					
effects for each individual.	monitoring medication side effects for each individual					
	quarterly or more frequently if indicated.					

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framew</b>	ork					79
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Process Indicator 2: In 95% of the 10% sample reviewed during the on-site visit there is documentation of monitoring for medication side effects within the past 90 days.  Process Indicator 3: Facility has process(es) for training staff on medication side effects.					
	Process Indicator 4: 95% of 10% random sample of staff training records reviewed during on-site visit reveal evidence of the staff being trained on recognizing and reporting medication side effects.  Process Indicator 5: Facility has process(es) for reporting medication side effects/adverse drug reactions.					
	<b>Process Indicator 6:</b> 95% of medication side					

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framewor</b>	·k					80
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	effects/adverse drug reactions identified during on-site review were reported per facility requirements.  Process Indicator 7: Facility has process(es) for incorporating medication side effect monitoring and reporting information into residents' Profile of Support progress entries.  Process Indicator 8: In 95% of the 10% sample reviewed during the on-site visit medication side effect monitoring and reporting information is incorporated into the residents' Profile of Support progress entries.  Process Indicator 9: Facility has process(es) for conducting intensive case analyses for any serious medication side effects/ adverse drug reactions.					
	Outcome Indicator 1: 90% of reported medication					

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	side effects/ adverse drug				
	reactions addressed/resolved				
	within 30 days.				
	Outcome Indicator 2:				
	Zero deaths resulting from				
	medication side effects				
	within the past 12 months.				
	Outcome Indicator 3:				
	Zero hospitalizations				
	resulting from medication				
	side effects within the past				
	12 months. Outcome Indicator 4:				
	Appropriately stable rate of				
	reported adverse drug				
	reactions over the past 24				
	months.				
F. Chemical protective supports and	<b>Overall Compliance Level for Secti</b>	on VI	F.: Compliance Part	ial Compliance Not in Compl	iance
procedures are only used in	Process Indicator 1:		•		
emergent situation with the	Facility has process(es) to				
following protocol followed:	assure that all required				
1. On site supervisor notified	actions occur and are				
2. Psychologist and	documented for each use of				
Psychiatrist (or Primary	behavioral chemical				
Care Practitioner if after	protective supports.				
hours) consult on need for	Process Indicator 2:				
use	100% of required actions are				

OCDD Quality Review Framework				82
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?  Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
Primary Care Practitioner following use  4. Psychologist reviews use within 24 hours  5. Interdisciplinary Team reviews use within 24 hours  Primary Care Practitioner characteristics in the property of the	conducted and documented in 100% of behavioral sees in the past 12 months based on review of behavioral protective support forms.  Process Indicator 3: Facility has process(es) for dentifying and evaluating staff failure to conduct and/or document required sections for behavioral sees.  Process Indicator 4: Facility has process(es) for initiating performance improvement if/when systemic issues related to conduct and/or documentation of required sections for behavioral sees.  Process Indicator 4: Facility has process(es) for initiating performance improvement if/when systemic issues related to conduct and/or documentation of required sections for behavioral sees are identified.  Process Indicator 5: Facility has process(es) for			

Area Performance Indicator Met Met If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation Recommendations  initiating corrective actions if/when individual-specific issues related to conduct and/or documentation of required actions for behavioral chemical protective support uses are identified.  Outcome Indicator 1: Zero deaths resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 2: Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the past 12 months.	OCDD Quality Review Fran	mework					83
if/when individual-specific issues related to conduct and/or documentation of required actions for behavioral chemical protective support uses are identified.  Outcome Indicator 1: Zero deaths resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 2: Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the	Area	Performance Indicator	Met	l l	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
if/when individual-specific issues related to conduct and/or documentation of required actions for behavioral chemical protective support uses are identified.  Outcome Indicator 1: Zero deaths resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 2: Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
issues related to conduct and/or documentation of required actions for behavioral chemical protective support uses are identified.  Outcome Indicator 1: Zero deaths resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 2: Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the							
and/or documentation of required actions for behavioral chemical protective support uses are identified.  Outcome Indicator 1: Zero deaths resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 2: Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the							
behavioral chemical protective support uses are identified.  Outcome Indicator 1: Zero deaths resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 2: Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the							
protective support uses are identified.  Outcome Indicator 1: Zero deaths resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 2: Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the							
identified.  Outcome Indicator 1: Zero deaths resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 2: Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the							
Zero deaths resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 2: Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the							
behavioral chemical protective support use in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 2: Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the							
protective support use in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 2:  Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the							
past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 2: Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the							
Outcome Indicator 2: Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the							
Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the							
from behavioral chemical protective support use in the		Zero major injuries resulting					
		from behavioral chemical					
past 12 months.							
Outcome Indicator 3:		-					

## Outcome Indicator 1: Zero deaths resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the past 12 months. Outcome Indicator 2: Zero major injuries resulting from behavioral chemical protective support use in the past 12 months. Outcome Indicator 3: Zero medical emergencies or complications resulting from behavioral protective support use in the past 12 months. Outcome Indicator 4: Zero individuals subject to behavioral chemical protective support use more Page 83 of 148

OCDD Quality Review Frameworl	k				84
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
		I			
	than two times in the past 12				
	months.				
	Outcome Indicator 5:				
	Stable or decreasing rate of				
	behavioral chemical				
	protective support use over				
	the past 24 months.				

Area Perforn	nance Indicator Met	Not Met	IT NOT MADE WAY NOT	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
--------------	---------------------	------------	---------------------	--------------------------	-----------------

			VI	. Medical/Denta	l Services		
A. Supports and Services Center	<b>Overall Compliance Level fo</b>	r Secti	ion VII.A.	: Compliance	Partial Compliand	ce Not in Compli	ance
will maintain and implement	<b>Process Indicator 1:</b>						
preventive healthcare policies	Facility has implemented						
	preventive healthcare						
	policies.						
	<b>Process Indicator 2:</b>						
	In 95% of 10% random						
	sample of health records						
	reviewed there is evidence of						
	compliance with at least 95%						
	of applicable preventive						
	healthcare standards						
	identified in facility policies.						
	<b>Process Indicator 3:</b>						
	Facility has process(es) for						
	evaluating compliance with						
	preventive health care						
	standards identified in						
	facility policies.						
	<b>Process Indicator 4:</b>						
	Facility has process(es) for						
	initiating corrective action						
	if/when individual-specific						
	issues related to compliance						
	with preventive health care						

OCDD Quality Review Fra	OCDD Quality Review Framework							
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations		
		1						
	standards are identified.							
	Process Indicator 5:							
	Facility has process(es) for							
	initiating performance							
	improvement if/when							
	systemic issues related to							
	compliance with preventive health care standards are							
	identified.							
	Outcome Indicator 1:							
	Decreasing rate of cancers							
	diagnosed at Stage II or later							
	over the past 12 months.							
	Outcome Indicator 2:							
	Decreasing rate of new onset							
	cardiovascular disease over							
	the past 12 months.							
	<b>Outcome Indicator 3:</b>							
	Decreasing rate of new							
	Diabetes Mellitus diagnoses							

B. Supports and Services Center

over the past 12 months.			
<b>Overall Compliance Level fo</b>	on VI	I.B.:	
<b>Process Indicator 1:</b>			
Facility has process(es) for			

Facility has process(es) for conducting comprehensive health care evaluations at regular intervals and

over the past 12 months.

Compliance

Partial Compliance

Not in Compliance

will conduct comprehensive
healthcare evaluations to include
1. determination of reliable
medical diagnoses
2. assess risk factors for each

OCDD Quality Review Framework							
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations	
individual 3. Determine needed health care services	including required elements (i.e. items 1-3 noted in Area column).						
	Process Indicator 2: In 95% of 10% sample of records reviewed during on- site visit there is a current comprehensive health care evaluation.						
	Process Indicator 3: Comprehensive health care evaluations in 95% of 10% sample of records reviewed include all required elements.						
	Process Indicator 4: Facility has process(es) for evaluating the clinical adequacy of comprehensive health care evaluations.						
	Process Indicator 5: Facility staff who completes Health Services Review Checklists (Health Services Review) <sup>6</sup> achieves 90% inter-rater reliability with reviewer.						
	Outcome Indicator 1:						

**OCDD Quality Review Framework** Not **Performance Indicator** Met If Not Met, Why Not? **Supporting Documentation** Recommendations Area Met Score of 95% or higher on Health Services Review per quarter for the past four quarters. **Outcome Indicator 2:** Score of 95% or higher on Health Services Reviews conducted by reviewer during on-site review. C. For all individuals with seizure **Overall Compliance Level for Section VII.C.:** Partial Compliance Compliance Not in Compliance disorder diagnoses, Supports and **Process Indicator 1:** Services Center will assure each Facility has process(es) for receives a comprehensive assuring that each individual evaluation by a neurologist at least with a seizure disorder annually. Assessment should diagnosis receives a document the following: comprehensive evaluation by 1. rationale for use of each a neurologist at least Anti-Epileptic Drug annually. 2. rational for use of **Process Indicator 2:** polypharmacy In 95% of 10% sample of 3. in consultation with records reviewed during on-Interdisciplinary Team, a site visit there is evidence of risk analysis a comprehensive neurology 4. rationale for continued use evaluation within the past 12 of Anti-Epileptic Drugs in months. individuals who have been **Process Indicator 3:** seizure free for 2 year or Facility has process(es) for

OCDD Quality Review Framework	<b>C</b>		89

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	assuring that comprehensive neurology evaluations include and document all required elements (i.e. items 1-4 in Area column).  Process Indicator 4: Comprehensive neurology evaluations in 95% of 10% random sample of records reviewed include all required elements (i.e. items 1-4 in Area column).  Process Indicator 5: Facility has process(es) which facilitate collaboration between the neurologist and the Interdisciplinary Team for completion of a risk analysis related to seizure management.  Process Indicator 6: In 95% of 10% sample of records reviewed during onsite visit there is evidence of collaboration between the neurologist and Interdisciplinary Team					

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framew</b>	ork				90
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	resulting in a risk analysis related to seizure management.  Process Indicator 7: Facility has process(es) requiring risk-benefit analysis of discontinuing Anti-Epileptic Drug(s) when a resident has been seizure free for 2 years and at regular intervals thereafter.  Process Indicator 8: In 95% of 10% sample of records reviewed during onsite visit there is evidence of a current (as defined by facility process) risk-benefit analysis of discontinuing Anti-Epileptic Drug(s) for residents who have been seizure free for two or more years who continue to receive Anti-Epileptic Drug(s).  Process Indicator 9:				
	Facility has process(es) for evaluating the clinical				

OCDD Quality Review Framew	vork				91
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	adequacy of neurology evaluations.  Process Indicator 10: Facility staff responsible for conducting Health Services Reviews achieves 90% inter- rater reliability with reviewer.  Outcome Indicator 1: Score of 95% or higher on Neurology Services section of Health Services Review per quarter for the past four quarters.  Outcome Indicator 2: Score of 95% or higher on Neurology Services section of Health Services Reviews completed by reviewer during on-site review.  Outcome Indicator 3: Stable or decreasing rate of individuals receiving Anti- Epileptic Drug medication(s) who have been seizure free for two or more years over				
	the past 24 months.				

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Outcome Indicator 4:					
	Stable or decreasing rate of					
	Phenobarbital use over the					
	past 24 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 5:					
	Stable or decreasing rate of					
	Dilantin use over the past 24					
	months.					
	Outcome Indicator 6:					
	Stable or decreasing rate of					
	Mysoline use over the past					
	24 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 7:					
	Stable or decreasing rate of					
	Anti-Epileptic Drug polypharmacy over the past					
	24 months.					
D. Assure that individuals with	Overall Compliance Level fo	r Secti	ion VI	I.D.: Compliance Partial Co	ompliance Not in Comp	liance
refractory seizures (i.e., 10 or more	Process Indicator 1:				1, or m some	
within past 12 months) receive	Facility has process(es) for					
aggressive neurological	identifying residents with					
interventions (seriously and	refractory seizures.					
thoughtfully considering and	Process Indicator 2:					
attempting treatment options	Facility has process(es) for					
including consideration of newer,	assuring that residents with					
perhaps more invasive treatments)	refractory seizures receive					
by a qualified neurologist	neurological reassessments					

OCDD Quality Review Framework	ς.			93
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	and interventions based on the person's clinical presentation.			
	Process Indicator 3:			
	90% of individuals with refractory seizures have been			
	evaluated by a neurologist			
	within the past six months.			
	<b>Process Indicator 4:</b> 90% of individuals with			
	refractory seizures have been			
	considered and/or evaluated			
	for possible Vagal Nerve			
	Stimulator placement.			
	Process Indicator 5:			
	Facility has process(es) for			
	assuring that pertinent			
	information from			
	neurological evaluations for			
	residents with refractory			
	seizures is incorporated into the residents' Profile of			
	Support progress entries.			
	Process Indicator 6:			
	In 95% of 10% sample of			
	records reviewed (of			
	residents with refractory			

OCDD Quality Review Framework	ζ.					94
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	seizures) there is evidence that pertinent information from neurological evaluations was incorporated into the residents' Profile of Support progress entries.  Outcome Indicator 1:  95% of residents on the refractory seizure list have a stable or decreasing rate of seizures over the past 24 months.  Outcome Indicator 2:  95% of individuals diagnosed with refractory seizures within the past 12 months have been evaluated for VNS placement within 6 months of diagnosis (or, if diagnosed within past 6 months, are in the VNS evaluation process).  Outcome Indicator 3:  100% of individuals diagnosed with refractory seizures for greater than 12 months have been evaluated					

OCDD Quality Review Framework					95
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
E. Supports and Services Center will develop and implement an integrated healthcare plan as part of the ISP. The healthcare plan will include the following:  1. Treatment for each medical diagnosis  2. Supports to remediate any identified at risk variables  3. Monitoring procedures for implementation of the plan	for VNS placement or are in the evaluation process.  Overall Compliance Level for Sect Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for developing integrated health care plans as part of the Individualized Support Plan process.  Process Indicator 2: In 95% of 10% sample of records reviewed during onsite visit there is evidence that an integrated health care plan was developed as part of the Individualized Support Plan process.  Process Indicator 3: Facility has process(es) for assuring that the required elements (i.e. items 1-3 in Area column) are included in the health care plans.  Process Indicator 4: 95% of integrated health care plans in 10% sample of	ion VII	I.E.: Compliance Partial	Compliance Not in Compli	ance
	records reviewed during on-				

<b>OCDD Quality Review Fran</b>	nework				96
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	site visit include all required elements (i.e. items 1-3 in Area column).				
	Process Indicator 5:				
	Facility has process(es) for				
	implementing health care plans.				
	Process Indicator 6:				
	In 95% of 10% sample of				
	records reviewed during on-				
	site review there is evidence that health care plans have				
	been implemented as written.				
	Process Indicator 7:				
	Facility has process(es) for				
	monitoring implementation				
	of health care plans.				
	Process Indicator 8:				
	Facility has process(es) for				
	initiating performance				
	improvement if/when				
	systemic issues related to implementation of health				
	care plans are identified.				
	Outcome Indicator 1:				
	Score of 95% or higher on				
	Health Care Plans section of				

OCDD Quality Review Framework	k					97
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Health Services Review <sup>6</sup> per quarter for the past four quarters.					
	Outcome Indicator 2: Score of 95% or higher on Health Care Plans section of Health Services Reviews conducted during on-site					
F. Supports and Services Center to	review.  Overall Compliance Level for	or Sect	ion VI	I.F.: Compliance Partial Co	ompliance Not in Compl	iance
monitor overall quality of healthcare and develop corrective actions plans as needed to address any identified trends and problems	Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for monitoring overall quality of health care.					
	Process Indicator 2: Facility analyzes aggregate health care data.					
	Process Indicator 3: Facility has process(es) for initiating performance improvement if/when					
	problematic systemic trends or patterns are identified related to health care.					

**Outcome Indicator 1:** 

Score of 95% or higher on Health Services Review per

<b>OCDD Quality Review Fram</b>	ework				98
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	quarter for past four quarters.				
	Outcome Indicator 2:				
	Score of 95% or higher on				
	Health Services Reviews				
	conducted during on-site				
	review.				
	Outcome Indicator 3:				
	Appropriately stable or				
	decreasing rate of pneumonia				
	over the past 24 months.				
	Outcome Indicator 4:				
	Appropriately stable or				
	decreasing rate of decubitus over the past 24 months.				
	Outcome Indicator 5:				
	Appropriately stable or				
	decreasing rate of UTIs over				
	the past 24 months.				
	Outcome Indicator 6:				
	Facility rate of deaths is				
	consistent with national				
	benchmark over the past 24				
	months.				
	Outcome Indicator 7:				
	Appropriately stable or				
	decreasing rate of				
	hospitalizations over the past				

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	24 months.  Outcome Indicator 8: Appropriately stable or decreasing rate of bowel obstructions over the past 24 months.				
G. Supports and Services Center provides adequate dental and oral hygiene care for all residents	Overall Compliance Level for Section Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for providing adequate dental care for all residents.	on VII.	G.: Compliance Partial	Compliance Not in Compl	iance
	Process Indicator 2: 95% of residents with teeth have had a dental assessment in the last 9 months.  Process Indicator 3: Encility has process (as) for				
	Facility has process(es) for providing adequate oral hygiene care for all residents.  Process Indicator 4: Facility has process(es) for monitoring provision of				
	adequate oral hygiene care for all residents.  Process Indicator 5: Facility has process(es) for				

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framework</b>					100
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Sup	oporting Documentation	Recommendations
	use of protective supports and procedures to facilitate completion of oral hygiene care.  Process Indicator 6: Facility analyzes data related to use of oral hygiene protective supports and procedures.  Process Indicator 7: Facility has process(es) for initiating performance improvement if/when systemic issues are identified related to dental and/or oral hygiene care.  Process Indicator 8: Facility has process(es) for initiating corrective action if/when individual-specific issues are identified related to dental and/or oral hygiene care.  Outcome Indicator 1: Stable or increasing rate of good oral hygiene over the past 24 months.				

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Outcome Indicator 2: Stable or decreasing rate poor oral hygiene over the				
	past 24 months.  Outcome Indicator 3: Stable or decreasing rate of oral hygiene protective support use over the past 24				
	months.  Outcome Indicator 4: 90% of residents identified in the past year as having a need for restorative dental				
H. Supports and Services Center maintains a system for drug alerts	care received the needed care within 90 days.  Overall Compliance Level for Section Process Indicator 1:	ion VI	I.H.: Compliance Pa	artial Compliance Not in Comp	liance
	Facility has a functional drug alert system.  Process Indicator 2:				
	Facility has process(es) for responding appropriately and in a timely manner to drug alerts.				
	Process Indicator 3: Pharmacy and Therapeutics Committee (P&T				

OCDD Quality Review Framework	102			

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
		1				
	Committee) minutes reflect					
	review and discussion of					
	drug alerts on at least a					
	quarterly basis.  Outcome Indicator 1:					
	Zero deaths resulting from failure to respond					
	appropriately and timely to a					
	drug alert in the past 12					
	months.					
	Outcome Indicator 2:					
	Zero hospitalizations					
	resulting from failure to					
	respond appropriately and					
	timely to a drug alert in the					
	past 12 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 3:					
	Zero medical emergencies					
	resulting from failure to					
	respond appropriately and					
	timely to a drug alert in the					
	past 12 months.					
I. Supports and Services Center	<b>Overall Compliance Level fo</b>	r Secti	on VII	.I.: Compliance Partial C	Compliance Not in Compl	iance
completes mortality review for all	<b>Process Indicator 1:</b>					
deaths and completes all needed	Facility has process(es) for					
corrective actions based upon the	conducting initial in-house					
review	mortality review within					

<b>OCDD Quality Review Fram</b>	nework				103
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	required timeframes.				
	Process Indicator 2:				
	Initial in-house mortality				
	review completed within				
	required timeframes for 95%				
	of deaths in the past 12				
	months.				
	<b>Process Indicator 3:</b>				
	Facility has process(es) for				
	facilitating external mortality				
	review within required				
	timeframes.				
	<b>Process Indicator 4:</b>				
	External mortality review				
	completed within required				
	timeframes for 95% of				
	deaths in the past 12 months.				
	Process Indicator 5:				
	Facility has process(es) for				
	requesting authorization for				
	autopsy each time that a				
	resident dies.				
	Process Indicator 6:				
	For 95% of deaths in the past				
	12 months there is evidence				
	in the resident's unified				
	record that authorization for				

OCDD Quality Review Framework	rk			104
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?  Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	autopsy was requested.			
	Process Indicator 7:			
	Facility has process(es) for			
	conducting follow-up			
	mortality review within			
	required timeframes.  Process Indicator 8:			
	Follow-up mortality review			
	completed within required			
	timeframes in 95% of deaths			
	in the past year.			
	Process Indicator 9:			
	Facility has process(es) for			
	implementing performance			
	improvement initiatives in			
	response to systemic issues			
	identified in the mortality			
	review process.			
	<b>Process Indicator 10:</b>			
	95% of performance			
	improvement initiatives			
	generated through the			
	mortality review process			
	were completed timely.			
	Outcome Indicator 1:			
	Facility rate of deaths			
	consistent with national			

OCDD Quality Review Framework	X.				105
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	benchmark over the past 24				
	months.				
	Outcome Indicator 2:				
	Zero additional death(s)				
	resulting from facility failure				
	to identify or implement				
	performance improvement				
	initiatives as a result of the				
	mortality review process.				

OCDD Quality Review Framework						1
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
A Supragrama and Somicae Contan	Owarell Compliance Level for	w Coot	ion VIII	VIII. Nursing Services	Compliance Notin Comp	alian aa
A. Supports and Services Center conducts comprehensive nursing	Overall Compliance Level for Process Indicator 1:	or Sect	1011 111	I.A.: Compliance Partial C	Compliance Not in Comp	l sinance
assessments and reassessments at	Facility has process(es) for					
specified intervals	identifying under what					
pecifica intervals	circumstances and at what					
	intervals comprehensive					
	nursing assessments are to be					
	completed.					
	<b>Process Indicator 2:</b>					
	In 95% of 10% sample of					
	records reviewed during on-					
	site visit there is evidence					
	that comprehensive nursing					
	assessments were completed					
	per facility standards for at					
	least 95% of opportunities in					
	the past 12 months.					
	Process Indicator 3:					
	Facility has process(es) for identifying under what					
	circumstances and at what					
	intervals nursing					
	reassessments are to be					
	1 days are to be					

completed.

Process Indicator 4:

In 95% of 10% sample of

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting D	Ocumentation	Recommendations
	records reviewed during onsite visit there is evidence that nursing reassessments were completed per facility standards for at least 95% of opportunities in the past 12 months.  Process Indicator 5: Facility Nursing Health Services Review data achieves 90% inter-rater					
	reliability with reviewer.  Outcome Indicator 1: Score of 95% or higher on Nursing Health Services Review per quarter for the past four quarters.  Outcome Indicator 2:					
B. Supports and Services Center completes nursing assessments prior to and upon return from an acute care facility	Score of 95% or higher on Nursing Health Services Review completed during on-site review.  Overall Compliance Level for Section Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for ensuring that nursing	on VII	I.B.: Compliance	Partial Compliance	Not in Comp	bliance

				108
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	assessments are completed prior to a resident transferring to an acute care facility.  Process Indicator 2: In 100% of acute care facility transfer cases reviewed there is evidence of a nursing assessment prior to transfer.			
	Process Indicator 3: Facility has process(es) for ensuring that nursing assessments are completed in a timely manner upon a resident's return from an acute care facility.			
	Process Indicator 4: In 100% of return from acute care facility cases reviewed there is evidence of a timely nursing assessment upon resident's return.  Outcome Indicator 1: Resident experienced continuity of care between facility and acute care			

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
C. Supports and Services Center completes medical consultations and tests within specified timeframes based upon urgency	facility in 95% of outgoing acute care transfers reviewed.  Outcome Indicator 2: Resident experienced continuity of care between acute care facility and facility in 95% of returns from acute care facilities reviewed.  Overall Compliance Level for Section Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for specifying timeframes for completion of medical consultations and tests based on urgency.  Process Indicator 2: Facility has process(es) for ensuring that medical consultations and tests are completed within specified timeframes based on urgency.  Process Indicator 3: 95% of medical consultations and tests	ion VI	II.C.: Compliance Partia	al Compliance Not in Com	pliance

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	ordered within the past 12 months were completed within specified timeframes based on urgency.  Process Indicator 4: Facility has process(es) for ensuring that results of medical consultations and tests are received and reviewed by practitioners in a timely manner.  Process Indicator 5: Results of 95% of medical consultations and tests completed within the past 12 months were received and reviewed by practitioners in a timely manner.  Process Indicator 6: Facility has process(es) for ensuring that recommendations/findings of medical consultations and			
	tests are addressed by practitioners in a timely manner.  Process Indicator 7:			

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	95% of recommendations/					
	findings of medical					
	consultations and tests					
	completed within the past 12					
	months were addressed by					
	practitioners in a timely					
	manner.					
	Outcome Indicator 1:					
	Zero deaths resulting from					
	delay in completion of,					
	practitioner receipt and					
	review of, and/or practitioner					
	response to medical consultations and/or tests.					
	Outcome Indicator 2:					
	Zero hospitalizations					
	resulting from delay in					
	completion of, practitioner					
	receipt and review of, and/or					
	practitioner response to					
	medical consultations and/or					
	tests.					
	<b>Outcome Indicator 3:</b>					
	Zero medical emergencies					
	resulting from delay in					
	completion of, practitioner					
	receipt and review of, and/or	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			

OCDD Quality Review Framework	K.				112
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	practitioner response to				
	medical consultations and/or				
D. Nursing interventions should be	tests.  Overall Compliance Level for Sect	on VII	II.D.: Compliance Par	rtial Compliance Not in Comp	Nianaa
implemented for any of the	Process Indicator 1:	011 110	<b>ii.D.:</b> Comphance Fall	ruai Comphance Not in Comp	onance
following situations:	Facility has process(es) for				
1. resident sustains an injury	ensuring that nursing				
2. resident is subject to	interventions are				
protective supports and	implemented for each of the				
procedures	listed elements (i.e. items 1-				
3. medications are	10 in Area column).				
administered	<b>Process Indicator 2:</b>				
4. ongoing care of tracheotomy	In 95% of 10% sample of				
5. for skin care or positioning	records reviewed during on-				
needs	site visit there is evidence				
6. resident has or is at risk for	that nursing interventions				
decubitus	were implemented in at least				
7. resident is at risk for	95% of resident injuries				
constipation or impaction	within the past 12 months.				
8. resident suffers significant	Process Indicator 3:				
weight loss/gain or is at risk	In 95% of 10% sample of				
for significant weight	records reviewed during on-				
loss/gain	site visit there is evidence				
9. resident is enterally fed	that nursing interventions				
10. for any other identified health diagnoses or risk	were implemented in at least				
factors	95% of resident protective				
1401018	support uses within the past				

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	12 months.			
	Process Indicator 4:			
	In 95% of 10% sample of			
	records reviewed during on-			
	site visit there is evidence			
	that nursing interventions			
	were implemented in at least			
	95% of medication			
	administration cases within			
	the past 12 months.			
	<b>Process Indicator 5:</b>			
	In 95% of 10% sample of			
	records reviewed during on-			
	site visit there is evidence			
	that nursing interventions			
	were implemented in at least			
	95% of ongoing tracheotomy			
	care cases within the past 12			
	months.			
	Process Indicator 6:			
	In 95% of 10% sample of			
	records reviewed during on-			
	site visit there is evidence			
	that nursing interventions			
	were implemented in at least 95% of resident skin care or			
	positioning need cases within			

OCDD Quality Review Framework	k			114
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	the past 12 months.			
	Process Indicator 7:			
	In 95% of 10% sample of			
	records reviewed during on-			
	site visit there is evidence			
	that nursing interventions			
	were implemented in at least			
	95% of decubitus risk cases			
	within the past 12 months.			
	Process Indicator 8:			
	In 95% of 10% sample of			
	records reviewed during on-			
	site visit there is evidence			
	that nursing interventions			
	were implemented in at least			
	95% of constipation or			
	impaction risk cases within			
	the past 12 months.  Process Indicator 9:			
	In 95% of 10% sample of			
	records reviewed during on-			
	site visit there is evidence			
	that nursing interventions			
	were implemented in at least			
	95% of nutritional risk cases			
	within the past 12 months.			
	Process Indicator 10:			
	110ccs marcarol 10.			

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentatio	n Recommendations
	In 95% of 10% sample of records reviewed during onsite visit there is evidence that nursing interventions were implemented in at least 95% of enteral nutrition cases within the past 12 months.  Process Indicator 11: In 95% of 10% sample of records reviewed during onsite visit there is evidence that nursing interventions were implemented in at least 95% of cases where residents have other identified health diagnoses or risk factors within the past 12 months.			
	Outcome Indicator 1: Score of 95% or higher on Nursing Intervention elements of Health Services Review per quarter for the past four quarters.  Outcome Indicator 2: Score of 95% or higher on			

medication administration variances in the past 12

Outcome Indicator 6: Zero hospitalizations or medical emergencies resulting from medication administration variances in

months.

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	the past 12 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 7:					
	90% of residents requiring					
	ongoing care of tracheotomy					
	experienced no					
	complications related to					
	tracheotomy care in the past					
	12 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 8:					
	Stable or decreasing rate of					
	decubitus ulcers over the past					
	24 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 9:					
	Zero residents developed a					
	Stage IV decubitus in the					
	past 12 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 10:					
	90% of decubitus reported in					
	the past 12 months resolved					
	within 60 days.  Outcome Indicator 11:					
	Zero episodes of preventable					
	bowel obstruction within the					
	past 12 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 12:					
	Stable or decreasing rate of					
	prn suppository/enema use					

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	over the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 13:  Zero deaths resulting from enteral nutrition administration variances in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 14:  Stable or decreasing percentage of residents receiving enteral nutrition who have been diagnosed with aspiration pneumonia per month over the past 12 months.					
E. Supports and Services Center regularly monitors resident's health outcomes and makes changes as needed	Overall Compliance Level for Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for regularly monitoring residents' health outcomes.  Process Indicator 2: In 95% of 10% sample of records reviewed during onsite visit there is evidence that nursing staff monitored residents' health outcomes per facility standards.  Process Indicator 3:	r Secti	ion VI	II.E.: Compliance Partial C	Compliance Not in Comp	bliance

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Facility has process(es) for communicating changes in residents' health status to primary care practitioner(s) and Interdisciplinary Team members in a timely manner.					
	Process Indicator 4: In 95% of 10% sample of records reviewed during onsite visit there is evidence that nursing staff communicated changes in residents' health status to the primary care practitioner in a					
	Process Indicator 5: In 95% of 10% sample of records reviewed during onsite visit there is evidence that nursing staff communicated changes in residents' health status to Interdisciplinary Team members in a timely manner.					
	Process Indicator 6: Facility has process(es) for making changes in residents'					

<b>OCDD Quality Review Fran</b>	nework				120
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	nursing care plans if the plans are not effective.				
	Process Indicator 7:				
	In 95% of 10% sample of				
	records reviewed during on-				
	site visit there is evidence				
	that nursing care plans were				
	changed if health outcome				
	data indicates a lack of				
	effectiveness.				
	Outcome Indicator 1:				
	Score of 95% or higher on				
	Monitoring section of Nursing Health Services				
	Review per quarter for the				
	past four quarters.				
	Outcome Indicator 2:				
	Score of 95% or higher on				
	Monitoring section of				
	Nursing Health Services				
	Reviews conducted during				
	on-site review.				
	Outcome Indicator 3:				
	Score of 95% or higher on				
	Individualized Supports Review Medical/Health				
	Outcomes element per				
	Outcomes ciement per				

OCDD Quality Review Framework	<b>C</b>		121

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Do	cumentation	Recommendations
	quarter for the past four					
	quarters.					
	Outcome Indicator 4:					
	Score of 95% or higher on					
	Individualized Supports					
	Review Medical/Health					
	Outcomes element for					
	Individualized Supports					
	Reviews conducted during					
	on-site review.					
	Outcome Indicator 5:					
	Zero preventable significant					
	declines in health status					
	resulting from failure to					
	monitor residents' health					
	status and/or failure to make					
	changes to the health care plans as needed.					
F. Supports and Services Center	Overall Compliance Level for Section	on VI	II.F.: Compliance I	Partial Compliance	Not in Comp	 Nignee
assures safe medication	Process Indicator 1:	VII 11	Computation 1		140t III Collip	
administration	Facility has process(es) for					
	ensuring safe medication					
	administration.					
	Process Indicator 2:					
	Facility staff responsible for					
	Medication Administration					
	Observations achieves 90%					

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framewo</b>	ork			122
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	inter-rater reliability with reviewer.  Process Indicator 3: 95% of facility staff			
	members who regularly administer medications have been the subject of at least one Medication Administration Observation in the past 12 months.			
	Process Indicator 4: Facility has process(es) for preventing, identifying, reporting, evaluating, and correcting/responding to medication variances.			
	Process Indicator 5: Facility staff responsible for analyzing medication variances (i.e. assigning levels and critical breakdown points) achieves 90% interrater reliability with reviewer.			
	Process Indicator 6: Intensive Case Analysis conducted for 100% of			

OCDD Quality Review Framework	K					123
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	medication variances reaching the level at which Intensive Case Analysis is required in the past 12 months.					
	Process Indicator 7: 100% of medication variances reaching the level at which abuse/neglect investigation is required in the past 12 months were referred to APS for					
	investigation.  Process Indicator 8:  95% of plans of correction developed in response to individual medication variances in the past 12 months were completed					
	within identified timeframes.  Process Indicator 9: Facility has process(es) for analyzing aggregate medication variance data.  Process Indicator 10: Facility has process(es) for					
	Facility has process(es) for initiating performance					

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framewor</b>	·k					124
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	improvement if/when system issues related to medication variances are identified.  Outcome Indicator 1: Zero level 9 medication variances in the past 12 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 2: Zero level 5-8 medication variances in the past 12 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 3: Stable rate of total medication variances over the past 24 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 4: Stable or decreasing rate of actual medication variances over the past 24 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 5: Score of 95% or higher on Medication Administration Observations per quarter for the past four quarters.					
	Outcome Indicator 6: Score of 95% or higher on					

OCDD Quality Review Framework	K		125

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
II Summents and Samines Contain	Medication Administration Observations conducted during on-site review.	w Coats	ion VI	H.H. Compliance Double Compliance	Compliance Not in Comp	lianas
H. Supports and Services Center assure policy for emergency tracheotomy care and replacement	Overall Compliance Level for Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for emergency tracheotomy care and replacement.  Process Indicator 2:	r Secu	ion VI	II.H.: Compliance Partial C	Compliance Not in Comp	bliance
	100% of nurses who regularly work with resident(s) with a tracheotomy have received and scored 95% or higher on competency-based <i>in vivo</i> training on emergency tracheotomy care and replacement within the past 12 months.					
	Process Indicator 3: 100% of emergency tracheotomy care and replacement kits have a current and thorough inventory completed/ monitored within the past 30 days.					

OCDD Quality Review Framewo	rk				126
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Outcome Indicator 1: Zero deaths resulting from failure to implement emergency tracheotomy care and/or replacement appropriately.				
	Outcome Indicator 2: Zero hospitalizations or significant health complications resulting from failure to implement emergency tracheotomy care and /or replacement appropriately.				

OCDD Quality Review Framework	<u> </u>	T				127
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
		IV	NI4	diamal/Dharaigal Carrer auta & Thomas Car		
A. Supports and Services Center	Overall Compliance Level for	IX. or Secti		tional/Physical Supports & Therapy Ser A.: Compliance Partial Co	ompliance Not in Compli	ance
will conduct comprehensive therapy	Process Indicator 1:	) Section	1011 121	Tartar ec	Titot iii Compii	anec
assessment across therapeutic	Facility has process(es)					
disciplines at required intervals.	identifying required intervals					
The assessment shall include the	and content criteria for					
following:	comprehensive therapy					
1. identification of any	assessments.					
nutritional and physical	Process Indicator 2:					
risks	Facility has process(es) for					
2. analysis of findings from	ensuring that comprehensive					
each discipline	therapy assessments are					
3. identification of significant	completed at required					
therapeutic needs	intervals.					
4. mobility needs	<b>Process Indicator 3:</b>					
5. communication needs	Facility has process(es) for					
	ensuring that comprehensive					
	therapy assessments meet					

Page	127	of	148

identified criteria.

Process Indicator 4:

Facility Therapy Services Review Checklist<sup>8</sup> data

achieves 90% inter-rater reliability with reviewer.

Process Indicator 5:
95% of 10% sample of records reviewed during on-

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	site visit includes a current					
	therapy services assessment					
	per facility standards.					
	Process Indicator 6:					
	95% of 10% sample of					
	records reviewed during on-					
	site visit includes a					
	comprehensive therapy					
	services assessment per					
	facility standards.					
	Outcome Indicator 1:					
	Score of 95% or higher on					
	Assessment-related					
	indicators of Therapy					
	Services Review Checklist					
	per quarter for the past four					
	quarters. Outcome Indicator 2:					
	Score of 95% or higher on					
	Assessment-related					
	indicators of Therapy					
	Services Review Checklists					
	conducted during on-site					
	review.					
B. Supports and Services Center	Overall Compliance Level fo	r Secti	on IX	<b>B.:</b> Compliance Partial Co	mpliance Not in Compli	ance
will assure development of	<b>Process Indicator 1:</b>					
appropriate nutritional, physical and	Facility has process(es) for					

**OCDD Quality Review Framework** 129 Not **Performance Indicator** Met If Not Met, Why Not? **Supporting Documentation** Recommendations Area Met therapeutic supports based upon ensuring development of assessments. The plan should appropriate nutritional, physical and therapeutic address the following: 1. mealtime guidelines supports based upon 2. positioning needs assessments and addressing 3. nutritional needs the required elements (i.e. 4. oral hygiene items 1-7 in Area column). 5. med administration **Process Indicator 2:** Activities of Daily Livings 95% of 10% sample of 7. Other therapeutic needs records reviewed during onsite visit contain a nutritional, physical and therapeutic supports document including all of the required elements (i.e. items 1-7 in Area column). **Process Indicator 3:** 95% of nutritional, physical, and therapeutic supports documents reviewed include clinically appropriate mealtime guidelines based upon the current therapy services assessment. **Process Indicator 4:** 95% of nutritional, physical, and therapeutic supports

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framewor</b>	·k					130
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	documents reviewed include clinically appropriate positioning guidelines based upon the current therapy services assessment.					
	Process Indicator 5: 95% of nutritional, physical, and therapeutic supports documents reviewed address nutritional needs as clinically appropriate based upon the current therapy services assessment.					
	Process Indicator 6: 95% of nutritional, physical, and therapeutic supports documents reviewed include clinically appropriate oral hygiene guidelines based upon the current therapy services assessment.					
	Process Indicator 7: 95% of nutritional, physical, and therapeutic supports documents reviewed include clinically appropriate medication administration					

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framewor</b>	·k					131
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	guidelines based upon the current therapy services assessment.					
	Process Indicator 8: 95% of nutritional, physical, and therapeutic supports documents reviewed include					
	clinically appropriate Activities of Daily Living guidelines based upon the current therapy services					
	assessment.  Process Indicator 9: 95% of nutritional, physical,					
	and therapeutic supports documents reviewed address other therapeutic needs as clinically appropriate based					
	upon the current therapy services assessment.  Outcome Indicator 1: Score of 95% or higher on					
	support planning-related indicators of Therapy Services Review Checklist					
	per quarter for the past four quarters.					

**OCDD Quality Review Framework** 132 Not **Performance Indicator** Met If Not Met, Why Not? **Supporting Documentation** Recommendations Area Met **Outcome Indicator 2:** Score of 95% or higher on support planning-related indicators of Therapy Services Review Checklists conducted during on-site review. **Overall Compliance Level for Section IX.C.:** C. Supports and Services Center Compliance Partial Compliance Not in Compliance will monitor implementation of **Process Indicator 1:** nutritional, physical, and Facility has process(es) for therapeutic supports. monitoring implementation of nutritional, physical, and therapeutic supports including the required frequency and content of monitoring activities. **Process Indicator 2:** In 95% of 10% sample of individual records reviewed during on-site visit there is evidence that monitoring of implementation of nutritional, physical, and therapeutic supports occurred and was documented per facility standards.

OCDD Quality Review Framework	ζ.			133
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Process Indicator 3: Facility staff responsible for the monitoring of implementation of nutritional, physical, and therapeutic supports achieve 90% inter-rater reliability with reviewer.  Process Indicator 4: Facility has process(es) for correcting implementation issues identified through the monitoring process(es).			
	Process Indicator 5: In 95% of 10% sample of individual records reviewed during on-site visit there is evidence that identified implementation issues were corrected in a timely manner.			
	Outcome Indicator 1: Score of 95% or higher on monitoring-related indicators			

OCDD Quality Review Framework	<b>S</b>			134
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?  Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	of Therapy Services Review Checklist per quarter for the past four quarters.			
	Outcome Indicator 2: Score of 95% or higher on monitoring-related indicators of Therapy Services Review Checklists conducted during on-site review.			
	Outcome Indicator 3: Score of 95% or higher on Mealtime Observations per quarter for the past four quarters.			
	Outcome Indicator 4: Score of 95% or higher on Mealtime Observations conducted during on-site review.			
	Outcome Indicator 5: Score of 95% or higher on Physical Support Observations per quarter for the past four quarters.			
	Outcome Indicator 6: Score of 95% or higher on			

OCDD Quality Review Framework	ζ.			135
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?  Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Physical Support Observations conducted during on-site review.  Outcome Indicator 7: Stable or decreasing rate of choking incidents over the past 24 months.			
	Outcome Indicator 8: Zero deaths resulting from choking incidents in the past 12 months.			
	Outcome Indicator 9: Stable or decreasing rate of aspiration pneumonia over the past 24 months.			
	Outcome Indicator 10: Stable or decreasing rate of injuries resulting from transfers over the past 12 months.			
	Outcome Indicator 11: Zero major injuries resulting from transfers in the past 12 months.			
	Outcome Indicator 12: Stable or decreasing rate facility-acquired decubiti			

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
D. Supports and Services Center requires documentation to assure adequate caloric, protein, water and/or fluid intake	over the past 24 months.  Outcome Indicator 13: Zero facility-acquired Stage IV decubiti in the past 12 months.  Outcome Indicator 14: Stable or decreasing rate of falls over the past 24 months.  Overall Compliance Level for Section Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for ensuring that adequate caloric, protein, water and/or fluid intake is provided and documented.  Process Indicator 2: In 95% of 10% random sample of documentation reviewed during on-site visit there is evidence that adequate caloric intake was provided to residents and documented in the past 12 months.  Process Indicator 3: In 95% of 10% factor 1.	ion IX	D.: Compliance Partial	Compliance Not in Compli	ance
	In 95% of 10% random				

Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	sample of documentation reviewed during on-site visit there is evidence that adequate protein intake was provided to residents and documented in the past 12 months.  Process Indicator 4: In 95% of 10% random sample of documentation reviewed during on-site visit there is evidence that adequate water and/or fluid intake was provided to residents and documented in the past 12 months.  Process Indicator 5: In 95% of cases where issues were identified related to providing and/or documenting provision of adequate caloric, protein, water and/or fluid intake there is evidence that corrective actions were implemented to resolve the issues.			

OCDD Quality Review Framework	K					138
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Process Indicator 6: Facility has process(es) for initiating performance improvement if/when systemic issues are identified related to providing and/or documenting provision of adequate caloric, protein, water and/or fluid intake.  Process Indicator 7: Facility has process(es) for identifying individuals who are at nutritional risk.  Process Indicator 8: Facility has process(es) for					
	ensuring that the ID Teams develop and implement appropriate plans to remediate nutritional risk for those residents identified as being at nutritional risk.  Outcome Indicator 1: 95% of residents identified as at nutritional risk 3 months or more prior to the review but within the past 12 months are no longer at					

OCDD Quality Review Framework	ality Review Framework									

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	nutritional risk. Outcome Indicator 2:					
	Stable or decreasing rate of residents diagnosed with dehydration over the past 12					
E. Supports and Services Center	months.  Overall Compliance Level fo	r Secti	ion IX	E.: Compliance Partial Con	 mpliance Not in Compli	ance
provides assistive technology and augmentative communication devices for individuals for whom a need is identified.	Process Indicator 1: Facility has process(es) for providing assistive technology devices for individuals for whom a need is identified.  Process Indicator 2: 95% of residents with an identified need for an assistive technology device have been referred for/provided with such a device.					
	Process Indicator 3: Facility has process(es) for providing augmentative communication devices for individuals for whom a need is identified.  Process Indicator 4:					

OCDD Quality Review Framewor	·k				140
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	95% of residents with an identified need for an augmentative communication device have been referred for/provided with such a device.  Process Indicator 5: Facility has process(es) for monitoring implementation of assistive technology and augmentative communication devices/programs.  Process Indicator 6: In 95% of records reviewed for residents with AT/AAC devices/programs there is evidence that monitoring of implementation of the AT/AAC devices/programs occurred and was documented per facility standards.				
	Process Indicator 7: Facility has process(es) for correcting issues identified during the monitoring of				

OCDD Quality Review Fran	mework				141
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	implementation AT/AAC devices/ programs.				
	Process Indicator 8:				
	In 95% of records reviewed				
	for residents with AT/AAC				
	devices/programs there is				
	evidence that identified				
	implementation issues were				
	corrected in a timely manner.				
	Outcome Indicator 1:				
	Score of 95% or higher on				
	AT/AAC Observations per quarter for the past four				
	quarters.				
	Outcome Indicator 2:				
	Score of 95% or higher on				
	AT/AAC Observations				
	conducted during on-site				
	review.				
	Outcome Indicator 3:				
	Stable or increasing				
	percentage of residents with				
	identified need for AT				
	device/program who have				
	been provided an AT device/				
	program over the past 12 months.				
	monus.				

OCDD Quality Review Framework	<b>S</b>			142

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Outcome Indicator 4:					
	Stable or increasing					
	percentage of residents with					
	identified need for AAC					
	device/program who have					
	been provided an AAC					
	device program over the past					
	12 months.					
F. Supports and Services Center	<b>Overall Compliance Level fo</b>	r Secti	on IX	F.: Compliance Partial Cor	npliance Not in Compli	ance
provides direct therapy supports	<b>Process Indicator 1:</b>					
and interventions for individuals for	Facility has process(es) for					
whom a need is identified.	providing direct therapy					
	supports and interventions					
	for individuals for whom a					
	need is identified.					
	Process Indicator 2:					
	95% of residents for whom a					
	need for direct therapy					
	supports and interventions is identified are receiving					
	appropriate direct therapy					
	supports and interventions.					
	Process Indicator 3:					
	Facility has process(es) for					
	monitoring implementation					
	of direct therapy supports					
	and interventions.					

OCDD Quality Review Framework	•			143
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not? Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	Process Indicator 4: In 95% of records reviewed for residents with direct therapy supports and interventions there is evidence that monitoring of the implementation of the direct therapy supports and interventions occurred and was documented per facility standards.  Process Indicator 5: Facility has process(es) for correcting issues identified during the monitoring of implementation of direct therapy supports and interventions.  Process Indicator 6: In 95% of records reviewed for residents with direct therapy supports and interventions there is evidence that identified implementation issues were corrected in a timely manner.  Outcome Indicator 1:			
	Outcome mulcatul 1.	<u>I</u>		

OCDD Quality Review Framework						
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations	
	Stable or increasing percentage of residents with identified need for direct therapy supports and interventions who have been provided direct therapy supports and interventions over the past 12 months.					
	Outcome Indicator 2: 95% of residents receiving direct therapy supports and interventions have made progress toward meeting direct therapy goals in the past six months.					

<b>OCDD Quality Review Framework</b>			145

If Not Met, Why Not?

**Supporting Documentation** 

Recommendations

Area

**Performance Indicator** 

X. Most Integrated Setting									
A. Supports and Services Center	<b>Overall Compliance Level for Section</b>	n X.A.:	Compliance	Partial Compliance	Not in Compliance				
will assist all individuals who wish	Process Indicator 1:								
to move to a community-based	Facility has process(es) for								
living setting to do so within a	identifying residents who								
timely manner	wish to move to a								
	community-based living								
	setting.								
	Process Indicator 2:								
	In 100% of records reviewed								
	there is documentation								
	indicating whether/not the								
	resident wishes to move to a								
	community-based living								
	setting.								
	Process Indicator 3:								
	Facility has process(es) for								
	assisting residents who wish								
	to move to a community-								
	based living setting to do so								
	in a timely manner.								
	Process Indicator 4:								
	In 100% of records for								
	people with active transition								
	referrals there is evidence								
	that the transition process is								
	being implemented								

OCDD Quality Review Framework	ζ.			146
Area	Performance Indicator Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?  Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	appropriately.  Process Indicator 5: Facility has process(es) for providing appropriate community education activities to residents.  Process Indicator 6: In 100% of 10% sample of records reviewed during onsite visit there is evidence that community education activities have been offered to the resident in the past 12 months.  Process Indicator 7: In 95% of 10% sample of records reviewed during onsite visit there is evidence that community education activities have been provided to the resident in the past 12 months.  Process Indicator 8: Facility has process(es) for ensuring that the Individualized Support Plan			
	for residents who wish to			

Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	If Not Met, Why Not?	Supporting Documentation	Recommendations
	move to a community-based					
	living setting include a plan					
	for fading supports used at					
	the Supports and Services					
	Center that are not					
	transferrable to the					
	community, training of					
	community provider staff					
	and family, and plans for					
	transition support team					
	follow-up after transition.					
	Process Indicator 9:					
	In 95% of records reviewed					
	for residents referred for					
	transition to a community- based living setting the					
	Individualized Support Plan					
	includes the required					
	elements.					
	Outcome Indicator 1:					
	90% of residents referred to					
	move to a community-based					
	living setting do so within					
	180 days of referral.					
	Outcome Indicator 2:					
	95% of residents have					
	participated in at least one					

OCDD Quality Review Framework							
Area	Performance Indicator	Met	Not Met	IT NATIVIAL WAY NATZ SIDBAPTIBA HAZIMANIATAN	Recommendations		
		1					
	community education						
	activity within the past 12						
	months.						
	<b>Outcome Indicator 3:</b>						
	Appropriately stable or						
	increasing rate of community						
	education activities provided						
	over the past 12 months.						
	Outcome Indicator 4:						
	Appropriately stable or						
	increasing rate of transitions						
	to more integrated settings						
	over the past 24 months.						
	<b>Outcome Indicator 5:</b>						
	Appropriately stable or						
	decreasing main campus						
	facility census over the past						
	24 months.						